

Publication of Contract Award

Country: China

Project Name: Henan Flood Emergency Rehabilitation and Recovery Project

Project Number: P000543

Purchaser / Employer: Xinxiang Municipal Urban Administration Bureau

Contract Description.: Improvement of Xinxiang Urban Drainage System Project for the Catchment Area of the Xiangyang Rainwater Pumping Station Section II

Contract Number: XXCG-Works-4

Procurement Method: NCT1S1E

1. The Successful Tenderer

Name of Tenderer:	Qingdao Ruiyuan Engineering Group Co., Ltd.
Country:	China
Contract Price:	CNY 89,574,774.46

2. Responsive Tenderer(s)

Name of Tenderer	Country	Technical Score	Tender Price as Read Out (currency)	Tender Price as Evaluated (currency)	Financial Score	Total Score	Ranking
Shandong Zhonghong Road & Bridge Construction Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 91,299,583.58	CNY 81,435,083.58	N/A	N/A	10
Shenzhen Jinhe Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 97,337,098.08	CNY 87,472,598.08	N/A	N/A	23
Qingdao Ruiyuan Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 89,574,774.46	CNY 79,710,274.46	N/A	N/A	7

Wuhan Yucheng Qianli Construction Engineering Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 103,681,675.75	CNY 83,817,175.75	N/A	N/A	19
Qingdao Haide Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 102,700,235.24	CNY 82,159,625.69	N/A	N/A	13
Guangdong Construction Engineering Machinery Construction Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 92,405,738.01	CNY 82,541,238.01	N/A	N/A	15
Zhejiang Wanli Construction Engineering Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 97,752,938.19	CNY 87,888,438.19	N/A	N/A	24
Xinjiang Beixin Road & Bridge Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 91,804,860.43	CNY 81,940,360.43	N/A	N/A	12
Fujian Lugang (Group) Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 93,296,263.31	CNY 83,431,763.31	N/A	N/A	18
Hunan Road & Bridge Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 97,864,367.19	CNY 87,999,867.19	N/A	N/A	25
Xinxiang Municipal Engineering Office Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 94,137,949.77	CNY 84,273,449.77	N/A	N/A	20
Anhui Kaiyuan Road & Bridge Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 88,478,185.75	CNY 78,613,685.75	N/A	N/A	6
Anhui Jiangong Lugang	China	N/A	CNY 98,569,209.90	CNY 88,704,709.90	N/A	N/A	27

Construction Group Co., Ltd.							
Shanghai Urban Construction Municipal Engineering (Group) Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 90,334,845.57	CNY 80,470,345.57	N/A	N/A	9
Xuzhou Municipal Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 100,539,378.02	CNY 90,674,878.02	N/A	N/A	31
China State Construction Xinjiang Construction & Engineering (Group) Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 106,195,921.48	CNY 96,331,421.48	N/A	N/A	37
Luoyang Tengfei Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 86,371,275.89	CNY 76,506,775.89	N/A	N/A	4
Zhengyan Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 122,862,407.41	CNY 91,528,305.00	N/A	N/A	32
The 23rd Metallurgical Construction Group Co., Ltd. of Minmetals	China	N/A	CNY 94,194,684.19	CNY 84,330,184.19	N/A	N/A	21
Hangzhou Weiye Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 87,510,686.49	CNY 77,646,186.49	N/A	N/A	5
Sinohydro Engineering Bureau 15 Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 102,511,979.66	CNY 92,647,479.66	N/A	N/A	33

Shanxi Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 104,729,787.50	CNY 94,865,287.50	N/A	N/A	36
Henan Installation Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 108,431,697.60	CNY 98,567,197.60	N/A	N/A	39
Tianjin No.2 Municipal Highway Engineering Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 92,901,498.68	CNY 83,036,998.68	N/A	N/A	17
Henan Water Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 96,098,209.29	CNY 86,233,709.29	N/A	N/A	22
China Railway 15th Bureau Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 99,185,822.30	CNY 89,321,322.30	N/A	N/A	29
Yueyang Road & Bridge Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 99,825,966.14	CNY 89,961,466.14	N/A	N/A	30
Dezhou Urban Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 91,473,958.46	CNY 81,609,458.46	N/A	N/A	11
Shandong Public Utilities Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 108,022,313.26	CNY 98,157,813.26	N/A	N/A	38
Anhui Xinjian Holding Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 89,938,560.91	CNY 80,074,060.91	N/A	N/A	8
Henan No.1 Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 103,820,690.99	CNY 93,956,190.99	N/A	N/A	35

Guangzhou Municipal Engineering Machinery Construction Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 122,872,158.61	CNY 88,937,027.33	N/A	N/A	28
Zhongheng Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 114,143,892.75	CNY 104,279,392.75	N/A	N/A	40
China Machinery Industry Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 92,399,958.34	CNY 82,535,458.34	N/A	N/A	14
Henan Shengding Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 98,273,494.50	CNY 88,408,994.50	N/A	N/A	26
China Railway No.3 Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 92,580,105.00	CNY 82,715,605.00	N/A	N/A	16
Wuhu Urban Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 84,995,679.70	CNY 75,131,179.70	N/A	N/A	2
Jiangxi Construction Engineering No.3 Construction Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 122,792,267.98	CNY 92,939,553.00	N/A	N/A	34
Pingmei Shenma Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	0; CNY 86,248,058.33	CNY 76,383,558.33	N/A	N/A	3
Hunan Gaoling Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 80,087,500.30	CNY 70,223,000.30	N/A	N/A	1

Note: If Rated Evaluation Criteria are not used, indicate N/A under Technical Score, Financial Score and Total Score.

3. Rejected/Non-responsive Tender(s)

Name of Tenderer	Country	
Xinxiang Municipal Engineering Office Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Anhui Kaiyuan Road & Bridge Co., Ltd.	China	Pursuant to clause 33 of Section 1 and Clause 1.6 of Section 3 of the tendering documents, the tenderer's tender was identified as a potentially abnormally low-priced tender. After clarification, the tender evaluation committee determined that, in its clarification response, the tenderer merely provided its own justification for the reasonableness of its quotation without submitting any supporting documents for reference. Furthermore, the tenderer's quotations contained omissions in key work items such as concrete pipes, concrete box culverts, asphalt concrete, and pavement demolition, and the earthwork cost failed to account for the necessary haul distance, resulting in cost deficiencies. The tenderer did not comply with the requirements of the tendering bill of quantities characteristics and the construction drawings. Its quoted price cannot support the completion of the construction work stipulated in the tendering documents with the required quality, posing a significant performance risk, and the abnormally low price accounts for a substantial proportion of the total tender price. The tenderer faces a performance risk of being unable to construct in accordance with the drawings and technical specifications, rendering the quality, safety, and schedule of the project unguaranteed. In accordance with clause 33.4 of Section 1 of the tendering documents, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer's clarification response failed to sufficiently justify the reasonableness of its low price and low costs, and therefore its tender is rejected.
Anhui Jiangong Lugang Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Shanghai Urban Construction Municipal Engineering (Group) Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive

Xuzhou Municipal Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
China State Construction Xinjiang Construction & Engineering (Group) Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Luoyang Tengfei Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	Pursuant to Clause 33 of Section 1 and Clause 1.6 of Section 3 of the tendering documents, the tenderer's tender was identified as a potentially abnormally low-priced tender. After clarification, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer's quotations for key items such as concrete pipes, concrete box culverts, concrete manholes, cables, cable conduits, and plastic pipes contained omissions, and the earthwork cost failed to account for the necessary haul distance, resulting in a severe cost deficiency. The tenderer did not comply with the requirements of the tendering bill of quantities characteristics and the construction drawings. Its quoted price cannot support the completion of the construction work stipulated in the tendering documents with the required quality, posing a significant performance risk, and the abnormally low price accounts for a substantial proportion of the total tender price. The tenderer faces a performance risk of being unable to construct in accordance with the drawings and technical specifications, rendering the quality, safety, and schedule of the project unguaranteed. In accordance with Clause 33.4 of Section 1 of the tendering documents, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer's clarification response failed to sufficiently justify the reasonableness of its low price and low costs, and therefore its tender is rejected.
Zhengyan Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
The 23rd Metallurgical Construction Group Co., Ltd. of Minmetals	China	Non Responsive

Hangzhou Weiye Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive, 1.As of the deadline specified in the Clarification Letter for Tender issued on July 24, 2025 (i.e., 18:00 Beijing time on July 31, 2025), 10# Hangzhou Weiye Construction Group Co., Ltd., whose tender was identified as a potentially abnormally low-priced bid, failed to respond to the bid clarification request. In accordance with clause 27.2 of Section 1 of the tendering documents, 'If a Bidder fails to provide the information requested for clarification within the time limit specified by the Employer, its tender may be rejected.' Therefore, the tenderer's tender is rejected. 2.The Tenderer does not agree to extend the validity period of its tender and tender security. Upon the expiry of the original tender validity period specified in the tendering documents, i.e., November 19, 2025, its tender shall automatically become invalid.
Jiangxi Nonferrous Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive, Tender Security not Provided
Jiayuguan Hongshuai Construction Engineering Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive, Failure to Meet Minimum Technical Score
Sinohydro Engineering Bureau 15 Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Shanxi Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Henan Installation Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Tianjin No.2 Municipal Highway Engineering Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive

Henan Water Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
China Railway 15th Bureau Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Nuclear Industry East China Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	Tender Security not Provided, Non Responsive
Yueyang Road & Bridge Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Dezhou Urban Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Shandong Public Utilities Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Anhui Xinjian Holding	China	Non Responsive

Group Co., Ltd.		
Henan No.1 Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Guangzhou Municipal Engineering Machinery Construction Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Zhongheng Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
China Machinery Industry Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Henan Shengding Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
China Railway No.3 Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Wuhu Urban Construction	China	1.Pursuant to clause 33 of Section 1 and clause 1.6 of Section 3 of the tendering documents, the tenderer's tender was identified as a potentially abnormally low-priced tender. After clarification, 39#, Wuhu Urban Construction Group

Group Co., Ltd.		Co., Ltd. failed to respond to the Clarification Letter for Tender (with a required response deadline of 18:00 on March 11, 2026) issued on March 4, 2026. In accordance with clause 27.2 of Section 1 of the tendering documents, the tender evaluation committee rejected its tender. 2.The tender did not further extend the validity period of its tender and tender security. Upon the expiry of the extended tender validity period as stipulated in the 'Notice on Extension of tender Validity Period and tender Security Validity Period (II)', i.e., March 11, 2026, its tender shall automatically become invalid., Non Responsive
Jiangxi Construction Engineering No.3 Construction Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Pingmei Shenma Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	1.Pursuant to clause 33 of Section 1 and clause 1.6 of Section 3 of the tendering documents, the tenderer's tender was identified as a potentially abnormally low-priced tender. After clarification, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer's quotations contained omissions in price composition, insufficient material content, missing key processes, and other instances of non-compliance with the technical requirements of the tendering documents in key work items such as cement-stabilized macadam, lime-blended asphalt concrete, concrete pipes, concrete manholes, steel sheet piles, and cables. The quoted material prices in certain instances were lower than the procurement prices self-reported by the tenderer in its clarification response. The tenderer's quoted price cannot support the completion of the construction work stipulated in the tendering documents with the required quality, posing a significant performance risk. The abnormally low price accounts for a substantial proportion of the total tender price, and the tenderer failed to provide clarification or responses regarding the prices of cable intermediate heads and cable conduits as required in the clarification questions. The tenderer faces a performance risk of being unable to procure qualified materials and unable to construct in accordance with the drawings and technical specifications, rendering the quality, safety, and schedule of the project unguaranteed. In accordance with clause 33.4 of Section 1 of the tendering documents, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer's clarification response failed to sufficiently justify the reasonableness of its low price and low costs, and therefore its tender is rejected. 2.The project performance submitted by the tenderer to demonstrate its qualification under "Special Experience in Key Activities 2.4.2(2)" for a "municipal drainage box culvert project with a cross-sectional area of not less than 3 square meters" could not be verified as meeting the qualification requirements stipulated in the tendering documents. After clarification and re-examination of the tenderer's tendering documents, clarification responses, and submitted design documents, the tender evaluation committee determined that the drawings provided by the tenderer were standard cross-sectional drawings of a underpass box culvert pavement. No cross-sectional drawings of the box culvert itself were provided. Therefore, it was impossible to verify the cross-sectional area or confirm whether the structure was a municipal drainage box culvert. Accordingly, the tender evaluation committee determined that the submitted performance evidence failed to demonstrate that the tenderer satisfies the requirement for a "municipal drainage box

		culvert project with a cross-sectional area of not less than 3 square meters" under Clause 2.4.2(2) (Special Experience in Key Activities) of Section 3 of the tendering documents. The tenderer failed to pass the qualification evaluation., Failure to meet Eligibility Criteria.
Hunan Gaoling Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Pursuant to Clause 33 of Section 1 and Clause 1.6 of Section 3 of the tendering documents, the tenderer's tender was identified as a potentially abnormally low-priced tender. After clarification, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer's quotations contained omissions in key work items such as excavation and backfill of earthworks, concrete pipes, concrete manholes, plastic pipes, and cables. The earthwork cost failed to account for the necessary haul distance, resulting in a severe cost deficiency. The quotations for concrete pipes and inspection chambers omitted key processes such as foundations, and the material costs were even lower than the tenderer's self-reported procurement prices. The tenderer misinterpreted '12-hole cable conduit' as a single pipe and omitted the pipe envelopment process, demonstrating a misunderstanding of the design scheme. The tenderer did not comply with the requirements of the tendering bill of quantities characteristics and the construction drawings. Its quoted price cannot support the completion of the construction work stipulated in the tendering documents with the required quality, posing a significant performance risk, and the abnormally low price accounts for a substantial proportion of the total tender price. The tenderer faces a performance risk of being unable to procure qualified materials and unable to construct in accordance with the drawings and technical specifications, rendering the quality, safety, and schedule of the project unguaranteed. In accordance with Clause 33.4 of Section 1 of the tendering documents, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer's clarification response failed to sufficiently justify the reasonableness of its low price and low costs, and therefore its tender is rejected.