

## Publication of Contract Award

Country: China

Project Name: Henan Flood Emergency Rehabilitation and Recovery Project

Project Number: P000543

Purchaser / Employer: Xinxiang Municipal Urban Administration Bureau

Contract Description.: Improvement of Xinxiang Urban Drainage System Project for the Catchment Area of the Yinmakaou Rainwater Pumping Station Section II

Contract Number: XXCG-Works-2

Procurement Method: NCT1S1E

### 1. The Successful Tenderer

Name of Tenderer:	Wuhan Yucheng Qianli Construction Engineering Co., Ltd.
Country:	China
Contract Price:	CNY 106,508,670.69

### 2. Responsive Tenderer(s)

Name of Tenderer	Country	Technical Score	Tender Price as Read Out (currency)	Tender Price as Evaluated (currency)	Financial Score	Total Score	Ranking
Luoyang Tengfei Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 108,168,341.46	CNY 96,385,441.46	N/A	N/A	9
Shenzhen Jinhe Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 113,939,380.09	CNY 102,156,480.09	N/A	N/A	21
Wuhan Yucheng Qianli Construction Engineering Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 126,508,670.69	CNY 94,725,770.69	N/A	N/A	4

Nuclear Industry Huadong Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 108,015,339.68	CNY 96,232,439.68	N/A	N/A	6
Fujian Lugang (Group) Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 108,698,609.65	CNY 96,915,709.65	N/A	N/A	10
Xinjiang Beixin Road & Bridge Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 108,745,126.84	CNY 96,962,226.84	N/A	N/A	11
China Jiangxi International Economic and Technical Cooperation Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 109,555,927.15	CNY 97,773,027.15	N/A	N/A	12
Hunan Road & Bridge Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 120,076,847.84	CNY 108,293,947.84	N/A	N/A	28
Pingmei Shenma Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 110,498,972.21	CNY 98,716,072.21	N/A	N/A	16
Xinxiang Municipal Engineering Department Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 109,839,067.26	CNY 98,056,167.26	N/A	N/A	14
Shanghai Urban Construction Municipal Engineering (Group) Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 107,116,816.32	CNY 95,333,916.32	N/A	N/A	5

CCCC Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 110,506,166.08	CNY 98,723,266.08	N/A	N/A	17
Zhengyan Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 121,795,858.84	CNY 98,351,585.20	N/A	N/A	15
Guangdong No. 3 Water Conservancy and Hydropower Engineering Bureau Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 118,378,574.92	CNY 106,595,674.92	N/A	N/A	24
Qingdao Haide Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 103,799,999.71	CNY 83,827,577.84	N/A	N/A	3
Jinan Huanghe Road & Bridge Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 108,021,572.13	CNY 96,238,672.13	N/A	N/A	8
Dezhou Urban Construction Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 118,010,983.67	CNY 106,228,083.67	N/A	N/A	22
PowerChina Municipal Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 127,271,611.25	CNY 115,488,711.25	N/A	N/A	29
Jinan Urban Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 119,374,434.36	CNY 107,591,534.36	N/A	N/A	25
China Erye Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 113,643,435.22	CNY 101,860,535.22	N/A	N/A	20
Guangzhou Municipal Engineering Machinery	China	N/A	CNY 146,507,799.95	CNY 106,421,226.00	N/A	N/A	23

Construction Co., Ltd.							
Shandong Road & Bridge Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 109,792,749.96	CNY 98,009,849.96	N/A	N/A	13
Henan Shengding Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 112,968,283.02	CNY 101,185,383.02	N/A	N/A	18
Wuhu Urban Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 92,999,167.92	CNY 81,216,267.92	N/A	N/A	2
Shanxi No. 4 Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 119,822,678.10	CNY 108,039,778.10	N/A	N/A	27
Anhui Construction Engineering & Construction Investment Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 108,020,169.07	CNY 96,237,269.07	N/A	N/A	7
Jiangxi No. 3 Construction Engineering Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 147,427,332.46	CNY 101,733,324.30	N/A	N/A	19
Taiyuan Municipal Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 96,182,629.27	CNY 78,913,746.87	N/A	N/A	1
Shaanxi Construction Engineering Installation Group Co., Ltd.	China	N/A	CNY 119,774,281.68	CNY 107,991,381.68	N/A	N/A	26

Note: If Rated Evaluation Criteria are not used, indicate N/A under Technical Score, Financial Score and Total Score.

### 3. Rejected/Non-responsive Tender(s)

Name of Tenderer	Country	
Xinxiang Municipal Engineering Department Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Shanghai Urban Construction Municipal Engineering (Group) Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
CCCC Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
China Construction First Group Corporation Limited	China	Non Responsive, Tender Security not Provided
Zhengyan Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
China Construction Third Engineering Bureau Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive, Tender Security not Provided
China Railway	China	Non Responsive, Tender Security not Provided

11th Bureau Group Co., Ltd.		
Guangdong No. 3 Water Conservancy and Hydropower Engineering Bureau Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Qingdao Haide Engineering Group Co., Ltd.	China	Pursuant to Clause 33 of Section 1 and Article 1.6 of Section 3 of the tendering documents, the tenderer's tender was identified as a potentially abnormally low-priced tender. After clarification, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer had systemic deficiencies in its quotations for core items, including concrete pipes, box culverts, concrete manholes, plastic pipes, and inclined ditch dredging. Specifically, the quoted material costs were only approximately 37% to 52% of the relevant material procurement prices self-reported by the tenderer in its clarification response; the concrete quantity for box culverts was less than one-third of the designed amount; numerous key processes were missing; and the proposed method for inclined ditch dredging did not conform to the requirements of the tendering documents. These deficiencies indicate that the tenderer's quoted price cannot cover the material, process, and measure costs required for compliant construction. Consequently, the tenderer faces performance risks, including the inability to procure qualified materials and the inability to construct in accordance with the drawings and technical specifications, rendering the quality, safety, and schedule of the project unguaranteed. In accordance with Clause 33.4 of Section 1 of the tendering documents, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer's clarification response failed to sufficiently justify the reasonableness of its low price and low costs, and therefore its tender is rejected.
Jinan Huanghe Road & Bridge Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Dezhou Urban Construction Engineering	China	Non Responsive

Group Co., Ltd.		
PowerChina Municipal Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Jinan Urban Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
China Erye Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Guangzhou Municipal Engineering Machinery Construction Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Shandong Road & Bridge Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Henan Shengding Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Wuhu Urban Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive, 1.Pursuant to Clause 33 of Section 1 and Clause 1.6 of Section 3 of the tendering documents, the tenderer's tender was identified as a potentially abnormally low-priced tender. After clarification, 24#, Wuhu Urban Construction Group Co., Ltd. failed to respond to the Clarification Letter for Tender (with a required response deadline of 18:00 on March 11, 2026) issued on March 4, 2026. In accordance with Clause 27.2 of Section 1 of the tendering documents, the tender evaluation committee rejected its tender. 2.As of the extended deadline of the second tender validity extension, i.e., March 11, 2026, the tenderer did not further extend the validity period of its tender and tender

		security. Upon the expiry of the extended tender validity period as stipulated in the 'Notice on Extension of Tender Validity Period and Tender Security Validity Period (II), i.e., March 11, 2026, its tender shall automatically become invalid.
Shanxi No. 4 Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Anhui Construction Engineering & Construction Investment Group Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
China Civil Engineering Construction Corporation (CCECC)	China	Non Responsive, Tender Security not Provided
Jiangxi No. 3 Construction Engineering Co., Ltd.	China	Non Responsive
Taiyuan Municipal Construction Group Co., Ltd.	China	Failure to meet Eligibility Criteria, 1.Pursuant to Clause 33 of Section 1 and Clause 1.6 of Section 3 of the tendering documents, the tenderer's tender was identified as a potentially abnormally low-priced tender. After clarification, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer's quotations for key items such as concrete manholes, concrete pipes, concrete box culverts, and dredging contain serious omissions (e.g., steel reinforcement, core processes, etc.) or non-conforming construction methods. As a result, the tenderer's quoted price completely fails to cover the necessary costs for construction in accordance with the drawings, and contains obvious deficiencies. Consequently, the tenderer faces performance risks, including the inability to procure qualified materials and the inability to construct in accordance with the drawings and technical specifications, rendering the quality, safety, and schedule of the project unguaranteed. The implementation of these key item works is critical to the performance of this contract, and the

		<p>existing issues will seriously affect the quality of the project. In accordance with Clause 33.4 of Section 1 of the tendering documents, the tender evaluation committee determined that the tenderer's clarification response failed to sufficiently justify the reasonableness of its low price and low costs, and therefore its tender is rejected. &lt;br&gt;2.The project performance submitted by the tenderer to demonstrate its qualification under 'Special Experience in Key Activities 2.4.2(2)' for a 'municipal drainage box culvert project with a cross-sectional area of not less than 3 square meters' could not be verified as meeting the qualification requirements stipulated in the tendering documents. Following a clarification request, the tenderer responded within the prescribed time and provided plan views of the as-built drawings (without an as-built seal) for the relevant project. Upon re-examination, the tender evaluation committee determined that the drawings submitted by the tenderer were not affixed with an as-built seal, and neither clearly indicated the legend for the box culvert structure nor provided the corresponding cross-sectional views. Therefore, it could not be verified that the claimed project contained a box culvert structure meeting the required area specifications. Consequently, the tender evaluation committee rejected the tenderer's clarification response in this regard. The tenderer failed to pass the qualification evaluation.</p>
<p>Shaanxi Construction Engineering Installation Group Co., Ltd.</p>	<p>China</p>	<p>Non Responsive</p>