

# Jizzakh Solar PV Project, Uzbekistan Critical Habitat Assessment

---

**Prepared for Masdar**

**December 2022**

**Rev02**

**TURNSTONE ECOLOGY LIMITED**

Project Number                    TT3601

Title                                    Jizzakh Solar PV Project

Document Reference            Critical Habitat Assessment-Rev02

Client                                Masdar

Issue Date                         16 December 2022

Prepared by:



Mark Gash  
Director

16/12/2022

Checked and Approved  
for Issue by:

Stephen Wilson  
Director

16/12/2022

**This report has been prepared by Turnstone Ecology Ltd, with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the client.**

**We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above.**

**This report may contain detailed information about protected species and is confidential to the client. We accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk.**

## Contents

1	Introduction.....	5
1.1	Purpose of Report.....	5
1.2	Project Background.....	5
2	Assessment Framework and Methodologies.....	7
2.1	Frameworks.....	7
2.1.1	International Finance Corporation (IFC) Performance Standard (PS) 6.....	7
2.1.2	European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) Performance Requirement (PR) 6 7	
2.2	Assessment Methods.....	8
2.2.1	General.....	8
2.2.2	Literature review and stakeholder consultation.....	8
2.2.3	Determination of Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis.....	8
2.2.4	Assessment against Critical Habitat criteria.....	9
2.2.5	Assessment against Priority Biodiversity Feature criteria.....	12
3	Baseline Ecological Information.....	13
3.1	Survey Timings and Methods.....	13
3.1.1	General.....	13
3.1.2	Habitats and Flora.....	14
3.1.3	Birds.....	14
3.1.4	Mammals.....	16
3.1.5	Reptiles and Amphibians.....	16
3.2	Results.....	17
3.2.1	General Site Description.....	17
3.2.2	Protected Areas.....	18
3.2.3	Habitats and Flora.....	19
3.2.4	Fauna.....	20
4	Critical Habitat Assessment.....	22
4.1	Introduction.....	22
4.2	Determination of Critical Habitat.....	30
4.2.1	General.....	30
4.3	Priority Biodiversity Features.....	32
4.3.1	Criterion 1 Threatened habitat.....	33

4.3.2 Criterion 2 Vulnerable species ..... 33

4.3.3 Criterion 3 Significant feature as identified by stakeholders or governments ..... 34

4.3.4 Criterion 4 Ecological structure and functions that are vital to maintaining the viability of priority biodiversity features ..... 34

5 Mitigation and future management ..... 35

5.1 General ..... 35

5.2 Mitigation and Monitoring ..... 35

5.2.1 Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP) ..... 35

5.2.2 Biodiversity Monitoring and Evaluation Program (BMEP) ..... 36

6 Summary ..... 37

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Purpose of Report

This report details the Critical Habitat Assessment (CHA) for the Jizzakh Solar PV Project, which has been completed in line with IFC Performance Standard 6 (PS 6) and EBRD Performance Requirement 6 (PR 6) and the corresponding Guidance Notes (GN) as well as the ADB Safeguarding Policy Statement to identify if sections of the Project area are considered as Critical Habitat.


This CHA aims to:

- identify Critical Habitat qualifying species or habitats, Priority Biodiversity Features and Natural Habitat associated with the Project.
- Highlight future actions for the Project where applicable, including identification and filling of data gaps and the need for additional field surveys as well as outline details to be included in a Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP).

### 1.2 Project Background

The Project Site consists of a Solar PV Site and Overhead Line and is located in the in Gallaorol District, Jizzakh region of Uzbekistan. The Solar PV Site boundary and route of the associated Overhead Line is shown in *Figure 1*.

*Figure 1. Layout of the Project AoI comprising the proposed Solar PV Site with a 50 m buffer and Overhead Line with 200 m buffer*



The Government of Uzbekistan aims to develop up to 5 gigawatts (GW) of solar power by 2030 through the development of privately financed and operated renewable energy projects. Scaling Solar is a World Bank Group program that assists governments to procure and develop large solar projects with private financing. The first solar photovoltaic (PV) plant, with 220 megawatt (MW) peak capacity, developed through Scaling Solar Program is being constructed in Navoi region at the time of publication of this report.

World Bank Group's Scaling Solar Uzbekistan Round 2 program aims to add over 400 MW of clean and renewable PV energy to the country's energy mix. As part of this round, two sites one in Samarkand and the other in Jizzakh regions have been identified for development.

This document covers the development of a 220 MWac Solar PV Project, referred to as "the Project Site", consists of a Solar PV Site, with an area of 563.92 ha, and a 16.05 km Overhead Line from an on-site substation to the existing Saribazar substation.

This document has been carried out on a location where there are large gaps in available data due to the rarity of species and lack of historic local, regional, and national survey data. In certain specific cases the report ensures that a precautionary approach is taken when dealing with these species. In particular where wider population levels are unknown a precautionary assumption of low population levels is used and where species are not recorded within the survey area, but habitat is present that is suitable the species is considered to have potential to use the site over the lifetime of the project and is screened in.

## 2 ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK AND METHODOLOGIES

### 2.1 Frameworks

#### 2.1.1 International Finance Corporation (IFC) Performance Standard (PS) 6

In accordance with IFC PS 6, habitats are divided into modified habitats, natural habitats, and critical habitats. Critical Habitats (CH) are a subset of either modified or natural habitats supporting high biodiversity value, including:

- Habitat of significant importance to critically endangered and/or endangered species (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) Red List)
- Habitat of significant importance to endemic and/or restricted-range species
- Habitat supporting globally significant concentrations of migratory species and/or congregatory species
- Highly threatened and/or unique ecosystems
- Areas associated with key evolutionary processes

Since habitat destruction is recognised as a major threat to the maintenance of biodiversity and to assess likely significance of impacts, IFC PS 6 requires the following depending on habitat status:

**Modified Habitat:** exercise care to minimise any conversion or degradation of such habitat, depending on scale of project, identify opportunities to enhance habitat and protect and conserve biodiversity as part of operations.

**Natural Habitat:** developer will not significantly convert or degrade such habitat unless no financial/technical feasible alternatives exist, or overall benefits outweigh cost (including those to biodiversity), and conversion or degradation is suitably mitigated. Mitigation must achieve no net loss of biodiversity where feasible; offset losses through creation of ecologically comparable area that is managed for biodiversity, compensation of direct users of biodiversity.

**Critical Habitat:** in areas of CH, the Developer will not implement project activities unless there are no measurable adverse impacts on the ability of the critical habitat to support established populations of species described or on the functions of the critical habitat; no reduction in population of a recognised critically endangered or endangered species and lesser impacts mitigated as per natural habitats.

#### 2.1.2 European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) Performance Requirement (PR) 6

The EBRD PR 6 sets objectives to protect and conserve biodiversity using a precautionary approach, utilise the mitigation hierarchy to achieve no net loss/net gains where appropriate, maintain ecosystem services, and promote good practice in the management and use of natural resources.

In addition to the Critical Habitat noted above, the PR 6 also builds on the requirements to preserve important areas of natural habitats, defining these as “Priority Biodiversity Features” (PBF), with a criterion-based qualitative approach also used to determine their significance.

## 2.2 Assessment Methods

### 2.2.1 General

The CHA comprises several steps in order to ensure the process is robust:

- Initial Screening – which involves making stakeholder consultation and/or an initial literature review *e.g.* Important Bird Areas in Uzbekistan; Red Data Book of Plants and Animals; IUCN Red List of Threatened Species and; World Database of Key Biodiversity Areas.
- Establishment of baseline which includes field data collection and verification of available information *e.g.* Habitat Survey; Bird Survey; Bat Survey; Invertebrate Survey; Reptile Survey.
- Critical habitat determination:
  - a) Determination of Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis.
  - b) Assessment against Critical Habitat criteria.

### 2.2.2 Literature review and stakeholder consultation

A literature review was performed in order to understand the baseline conditions of the Project as well as informing the CHA. Primary sources of Project-related information included reports / articles / books related to the site and on-line resources including but not limited to:

- Field data collection and verification of available information *e.g.* Habitat, flora and fauna surveys
- Red Data Book of Uzbekistan
- IUCN Red List of Threatened Species
- BirdLife International Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBAs)
- World Database of Key Biodiversity Areas

Consultation with stakeholders has taken place and is ongoing. Where relevant, the outcomes of these discussions will be updated accordingly. Stakeholders consulted has included:

- Samarkand Regional Ecology Department (included the respective Heads from the Regional Department of Ecology, Biodiversity Division, Expertise Division and Air Protection Division) [26th November 2021].

### 2.2.3 Determination of Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis

IFC PS 6 requires identification of Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis (EAAA) to determine the presence of critical habitat for each species with regular occurrence in the Project’s area of influence, or ecosystem, covered by Criteria 1-4. The boundaries of an EAAA are determined by taking into account the distribution of species or ecosystems (within and sometimes extending beyond the project’s area of influence) and the ecological patterns, processes, features, and functions that are necessary for

maintaining them. This approach ensures that all important biodiversity within the project footprint and linked surrounding habitats are taken into consideration.

Criteria used to define CH under EBRD PR 6 are closely aligned to the IFC guidance and these require that the study area be defined by comparable parameters to the above. In essence any CH assessment must encompass all direct and indirect impacts within a broad landscape unit which is large enough to include features and functions relevant to the species being considered.

## 2.2.4 Assessment against Critical Habitat criteria

### *Criteria*

The CH determination refers to the evaluation of the area in question with respect to each of the five CH criteria defined in IFC PS 6 GN and the six defined in EBRD PR 6 GN. Each criterion is described in detail in paragraphs GN70–GN83 of IFC PS 6 GN and Section 3.7 of EBRD PR 6 GN as summarised in Tables 1 and 2 below. Definitions and quantitative thresholds for each criterion of the assessment in both guidance notes follow those set out in the IFC guidance as this is considered the most appropriate source by both IFC and EBRD at the time of writing:

*Table 1 – Critical Habitat Criteria as defined by IFC PS 6*

<b>Critical Habitat Criteria as defined by IFC PS 6</b>	<b>PS 6 Criterion Number</b>
<b>Critically Endangered (CR) and/or Endangered (EN) species</b>	1
<b>Endemic or restricted-range species</b>	2
<b>Migratory or congregatory species</b>	3
<b>Highly threatened and/or unique ecosystems</b>	4
<b>Key evolutionary processes</b>	5

*Table 2 – Critical Habitat Criteria as defined by EBRD PR 6*

<b>Critical Habitat Criteria as defined by EBRD PR 6</b>	<b>PR 6 Criterion Number</b>
<b>Highly threatened and/or unique ecosystems</b>	i
<b>Habitats of significant importance to endangered or Critically Endangered species</b>	ii
<b>Habitats of significant importance to endemic or range restricted species</b>	iii
<b>Habitats supporting globally significant concentrations of migratory or congregatory species</b>	iv
<b>Areas associated with key evolutionary processes</b>	v
<b>Ecological functions that are vital in maintaining the viability of biodiversity features described (as critical habitat features)</b>	vi

*PS 6 Criterion 1 and PR 6 Criterion ii: Critically Endangered (CR) and/or Endangered (EN) Species*

Species or areas supporting species threatened with global extinction and listed as Critically Endangered (CR) and Endangered (EN) on the IUCN Red List or local equivalent trigger CH under these criteria.

The principal thresholds for triggering CH are:

- a) the EAAA contains “globally important concentrations” of an IUCN CR or EN species, defined as at least 0.5% of the global population AND over 5 reproductive units.
- b) areas that support globally important concentrations of an IUCN Red-listed Vulnerable (VU) species, the loss of which would result in the change of the IUCN Red List status to EN or CR and meet the thresholds in (a).
- c) is as appropriate, areas containing important concentrations of a nationally or regionally listed EN or CR species.

*PS 6 Criterion 2 and PR 6 Criterion iii: Endemic and/or Restricted-Range Species and Supporting Habitats*

IFC GN6 - Paragraph 74 (2019) defines “endemic” as synonymous with “restricted range” species, and for terrestrial vertebrate and plant species, this criterion refers to species with a global range size of  $\leq 50,000$  km<sup>2</sup>. In order to trigger CH under these criteria, the EAAA must contain  $\geq 10\%$  of the global population of such a species AND at least 10 reproductive units.

*PS 6 Criterion 3 and PR 6 Criterion iv: Migratory or Congregatory Species and Supporting Habitats*

Migratory species are defined as any species of which a significant proportion of its members cyclically and predictably move from one geographical area to another (including within the same ecosystem). Congregatory species are defined as species whose individuals gather in large groups on a cyclical or otherwise regular and/or predictable basis. Examples of Congregatory species are:

- Species that form colonies.
- Species that form colonies for breeding purposes and/or where large numbers of individuals of a species gather at the same time for non-breeding purposes (for example, foraging and roosting).
- Species that utilize a bottleneck site where significant numbers of individuals of a species occur in a concentrated period of time (for example, for migration).
- Species with large but clumped distributions where a large number of individuals may be concentrated in a single or a few sites while the rest of the species is largely dispersed (for example, wildebeest or Argali distributions).
- Source populations where certain sites hold populations of species that make an inordinate contribution to recruitment of the species elsewhere (especially important for marine species) (IFC PS 6 GN76-77).

Thresholds for these criteria as per IFC PS 6 GN78 are the following:

- a) areas known to sustain, on a cyclical or otherwise regular basis,  $\geq 1$  percent of the global population of a migratory or congregatory species at any point of the species' lifecycle.
- b) areas that predictably support  $\geq 10$  percent of the global population of a species during periods of environmental stress.

*PS 6 Criterion 4 and PR 6 Criterion i: Highly Threatened or Unique Ecosystems*

As per IFC PS 6 GN79, it is necessary to use the Red List of Ecosystems where formal IUCN assessments have been performed. Where formal IUCN assessments have not been performed, assessments may be made using systematic methods at the national/regional level, carried out by governmental bodies, recognized academic institutions and/or other relevant qualified organizations (including internationally recognized NGOs).

Thresholds for these criteria as per IFC PS 6 GN80 are the following:

- a) areas representing  $\geq 5$  percent of the global extent of an ecosystem type meeting the criteria for IUCN status of CR or EN.
- b) other areas, not yet assessed by IUCN, but determined to be of high priority for conservation by regional or national systematic conservation planning.

*PS 6 Criterion 5 and PR 6 Criterion v: Key Evolutionary Processes*

According to the GN81 of IFC PS 6, the structural attributes of a region, such as its topography, geology, soil, temperature, and vegetation, and combinations of these variables, can influence the evolutionary processes that give rise to regional configurations of species and ecological properties. In some cases, spatial features that are unique or idiosyncratic of the landscape have been associated with genetically unique populations or subpopulations of plant and animal species. Physical or spatial features have been described as surrogates or spatial catalysts for evolutionary and ecological processes, and such features are often associated with species diversification. By conserving species diversity within a landscape, the processes that drive speciation, as well as the genetic diversity within species, ensures the evolutionary flexibility in a system, which is especially important in a rapidly changing climate.

It should be noted that the IFC PS 6 GN provides qualitative guidance for assessing the projects against these criteria rather than quantitative thresholds, unlike PS 6 Criteria 1-4.

*EBRD PR 6 Criterion vi: Ecological Functions that are Vital to Maintaining the Viability of the Biodiversity Features Described.*

EBRD PR 6 describes this as “ecological functions without which critical biodiversity features could not persist.” Examples of these are given as riparian zones and rivers, dispersal or migration corridors, hydrological regimes, seasonal refuges or food sources, keystone or habitat-forming species.

As with PR 6 Criterion v this item holds a qualitative threshold rather than a quantitative one, and as such the likelihood of triggering CH should be informed by survey data and the use of relevant expert opinions.

## 2.2.5 Assessment against Priority Biodiversity Feature criteria

Four criteria relating to the determination of PBF are presented within EBRD PR 6. As noted above there are no quantitative thresholds stated within the guidance for the determination of PBF and as such background data, field data and expert opinion is used to complete a qualitative assessment. *Table 3* shows the criteria for defining PBFs with examples of each feature taken from the EBRD PR 6 guidance note.

*Table 3 – Priority Biodiversity Feature (PBF) Criteria as Defined by EBRD PR 6*

<b>Feature</b>	<b>PR 6 PBF Criterion Number</b>
<b>Threatened Habitats</b>	1
<b>Vulnerable Species</b>	2
<b>Significant biodiversity features identified by stakeholders or governments (e.g. IBAs or KBAs)</b>	3
<b>Ecological structure and functions that are vital to maintaining the viability of priority biodiversity features</b>	4

Examples of threatened habitats are given as: Habitats considered under pressure by national, regional or international assessments. They include natural and priority habitats identified under Annex I of the EU Habitats Directive.

Examples of Vulnerable species are given as: Species listed by the IUCN or any other national/regional lists (e.g., national Red Lists or Red Data Books) as Vulnerable or equivalent (N.B. in Uzbekistan the Vulnerable tier is split into Vulnerable: Rare and Vulnerable: Declining). These include animal and plant species of community interest identified under the EU Habitats Directive (Annex II).

Examples of Significant biodiversity features are given as: Key Biodiversity Areas and Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas

Examples of Ecological structure and functions needed to maintain the viability of priority biodiversity features are given as: Locations essential for priority biodiversity features, riparian zones and rivers, dispersal or migration corridors, hydrological regimes, seasonal refuges or food sources, keystone or habitat-forming species.

### 3 BASELINE ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

#### 3.1 Survey Timings and Methods

##### 3.1.1 General

Original scoping and screening surveys were completed by Typsa in 2020 and 2021 and included winter Great Bustard (*Otis tarda*) surveys (Typsa, 2021. Great Bustard Winter Survey - Kattakurgan and Jizzakh Solar PV Projects).

An ESIA scoping site visit was undertaken in September 2021 and a subsequent ESIA site visit completed in November 2021. The survey teams from Green Business Innovation and Aecom consisted of biodiversity and socio-economics specialists who completed walkovers of the Project Site, the area immediately surrounding the Solar PV Site, and the proposed Overhead Line route. Observations included land use, flora and fauna and the team also conducted a series of meetings and interviews with local authorities and residents.

The ecological baseline (habitat identification, floral survey, terrestrial fauna and avifauna survey) was established by local biodiversity specialists in September 2021 and by AECOM ecologists/local biodiversity specialist during site surveys on 23rd, 24th and 25th November 2021 (referred to as the ‘September 2021’ and ‘November 2021’ surveys herein). These surveys included:

- Walkover transect surveys for birds, reptiles, mammals and rare and endemic species of plants within the Solar PV site;
- Walkover transect survey for habitat assessment categorization within the Solar PV site;
- Drive-over and point count surveys for the aforementioned ecological features along the transmission line (from the on-site substation to the existing Ishtihan substation) and also in areas adjacent to the proposed Project site.

The Solar PV site footprint (being relatively small) was surveyed on foot with a series of transects running from east to west and north to south. The area was traversed in a regular pattern in order to reduce the chances of missing any important biotic features.

In addition to the site above surveys, AECOM have conducted further surveys and assessments as part of the final version of the ESIA. The further ecological surveys were species specific surveys for:

- Sociable Lapwing (*Vanellus gregarius*) in September 2022
- Asian Houbara Bustard (*Chlamydotis macqueenii*) in April 2022
- Central Asian Tortoise (*Testudo horsfieldii*) in April 2022

The Project Area of Influence (AOI) detailed within the Critical Habitat and Impact Assessment report is defined as a 50m buffer surrounding the Solar PV Site and new substation, with a 200m buffer around the Overhead Line route centre line.

Baseline ecological data was gathered by AECOM within a 50km radius from the Project Site; only faunal and habitat data considered to be of potential relevance to the aforementioned AOI has been included within this document.

### 3.1.2 Habitats and Flora

The aims of the September 2021 habitat and flora survey, which was undertaken by local botanical specialists, were as follows:

- Determine the habitat type and plant species present at and around the proposed Project site and highlight any IUCN threatened species and/or species listed in the Red Data Book of Uzbekistan. The vegetation was sampled by the local botanical specialists along a transect route using 10mx10m quadrats, using the Drude method for assigning vegetation cover and describing the vegetation type formations.
- Identify any exotic (non-native) or potentially invasive flora species.
- Identify the potential direct or indirect impacts, whether they are beneficial, adverse or neutral, on the current vegetation communities or protected species as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed Project.
- Identify feasible mitigation strategies as counter measures for the potential impacts.

Plant species were identified, and distributions were checked using relevant literature. The conservation status of each of the plant species documented was researched using the IUCN data bases. This was cross checked against the Uzbekistan Red List to determine the presence of species of conservation importance.

The September 2021 survey was undertaken within the optimal survey season (April-September) for undertaking habitat surveys.

The site was appraised by an experienced botanist/ habitat surveyor from AECOM and a local biodiversity specialist during the November 2021 field survey visit; the habitats and species present could be identified with confidence. Sufficient information was obtained during the September 2021 visit to allow ground-truthing of habitats and flora during the November visit.

The September and November 2021 surveys did not coincide with the optimum period for surveying those spring ephemeral species which are perennials (e.g. Liliaceae and Iridaceae); these have bulbs, corms or tubers which enter a period of dormancy until sprouting in early spring. Nevertheless, the above-ground parts can still be detected and identified at the end of the growing season in late summer/early autumn. Also, the dead above ground parts of spring ephemeral species which are annuals (e.g. Papaveraceae, Chenopodiaceae, Compositae, Fabaceae) could be detected and identified during the September 2021 visit. Therefore, the timing of the surveys is not considered to be a limitation in terms of this botanical and habitat appraisal.

### 3.1.3 Birds

The aims of the September 2021 and November 2021 avifauna surveys were to:

- Carry out field work to identify the micro-habitats within the proposed Project's footprint and identify the avifauna that may reside or frequent the area.
- Provide the IUCN rating for each of the fauna species determined to be present and protected status in Uzbekistan for each of the avifauna species determined to be present or potentially occurring at the Project site.
- Identify direct or indirect impacts to the local avifauna that could be the result of the construction and operation of the proposed Project.
- Determine relevant mitigation measures.

There are numerous factors that could influence the presence of avian species within the region such as season, weather conditions, and food availability. In order to account for this the bird distributions were researched to formulate an index similar to that used for terrestrial fauna species. In addition, the breeding and migratory habits were researched using Bird Life International databases to derive the species lists. Birds that could potentially frequent the proposed Project site have been classified according to their migratory, breeding and resident statuses. This scale uses the following terms:

- Resident: These birds reside and breed within the local areas on a more or less permanent basis though may move within their distribution zone.
- Non-breeding migrant: These birds do not breed in this area however may be found in the region during certain periods/ seasons as they either use this area as a temporary or seasonal home range. This includes Eurasian wintering migrants.
- Breeding migrant: These birds frequent the region specifically to breed and raise their young, however following the breeding season will move on to other areas.

Surveys for Sociable Lapwing at the Project Site have been carried out by AECOM in September 2022 in accordance with advice provided by ADB.

Following consultation with the Asian Development Bank (ADB), a species-specific survey for Asian Houbara was undertaken by local biodiversity specialists on 10th-12th April 2022. The aim of the Asian Houbara breeding survey was to detect communal display areas (leks) in areas of suitable breeding habitat within the project area following the methodology detailed in Sutherland et al, 1996.

The dates of the survey in early/mid-April coincide with the optimal period for lekking activity. The aim was to confirm presence/absence of breeding populations of Asian Houbara within the Solar PV Site. This species 'inhabits open, arid and sparsely vegetated steppe and semi-desert; it favours scattered shrubby vegetation, typically comprising xerophytic or halophytic plants (Birdlife International, 2022). The intensive agricultural areas which support the proposed transmission line do not represent suitable breeding habitat for this species and therefore element of the project was scoped out of the survey.

In terms of the survey methodology, watches from vantage points were made in the early morning from elevated points using high powered optical equipment (telescopes mounted on a tripod (as well as binoculars)). The surveys coincided with the recommended timeframes as advised by ADB (i.e.. early mornings in April). The location of the vantage points sought to avoid any disturbance to any lekking birds that may have been present.

Other bird species were recorded incidentally during the April 2022 Asian Houbara survey; this included breeding and migrating (spring passage) species and where encountered the following information was recorded for these records: species, number, behaviour and flight direction (if relevant).

Surveys for Great Bustard were completed by Typsa in December 2020 and January and February 2021. National census surveys for this species were completed in the Jizzakh region in 2019. The Great Bustard survey was undertaken utilising a point count / driven transect method which resulted in a total of 215km of transects being driven, although it is not clear in the Typsa report if this is total transect per month or total driven over the three months of survey.

### 3.1.4 Mammals

Mammal surveys of the Solar PV site have been completed during the 2020 Suntrace surveys and the AECOM baseline surveys undertaken in 2021 and 2022. AECOM also completed baseline mammal surveys at the Karasu River Overhead Line crossing point in April 2022. The aims of the faunal study were to:

- Carry out field survey work to identify the terrestrial fauna that may reside or range within the region of the proposed Project site.
- Where possible, interview local residents regarding faunal species that may have been observed in the Project Site.
- Provide the IUCN Red Data rating and protected status in Uzbekistan for each of the fauna species determined to be present or potentially occurring at the Project site.
- Identification of any direct or indirect impacts, whether they are beneficial, adverse or neutral, on the current terrestrial biodiversity and provide relevant mitigation measures.

Considering that the activity patterns of many terrestrial species are hugely variable (i.e. many are nocturnal), it is possible that certain small species (particularly small mammals, reptiles and amphibians) could have been overlooked during the daily site surveys.

### 3.1.5 Reptiles and Amphibians

Surveys for Central Asia Tortoise (*Testudo horsfieldii*) were undertaken by AECOM between 20th-23rd April 2022. The field survey was carried out by a local reptile specialist R.A. Nazarov in April 2022; the findings are reported in Nazarov, R.A. (May 2022).

A purpose of the surveys was to confirm presence/absence and an estimation of population density within the Project site, to inform the ecological baseline, impact assessment and mitigation for this assessment and to accord with the requirements of Jizzakh Regional Ecology Department / Goscomecology with respect to permitting for translocation of tortoises. The surveys also aimed to record any other reptile species encountered during the tortoise surveys; to inform the ecological baseline, impact assessment and mitigation with respect to all reptile species present or potentially present within the Project Site.

The surveys were undertaken in April and were therefore within the active season for Central Asian tortoise when they are more commonly active above ground and therefore easier to detect. The survey involved the surveyors walking line transects within and immediately adjacent to the Solar PV site and the Overhead Line route during the daytime (three survey visits) and one nocturnal visit; observations of tortoises/other reptiles, tortoise/other reptile burrows and tortoise signs were recorded within distance bands so that population densities could be calculated.

## 3.2 Results

### 3.2.1 General Site Description

The Project site is located in the Gallaorol District of the Jizzakh region of Uzbekistan and consists of a Solar PV Site, with an area of 563.92 ha, and a 16.05 km Overhead Line from an on-site substation to the existing Saribazar substation.

The September 2021 and November 2021 surveys confirmed that the Solar PV Site is formerly cultivated land, with ridge and furrow patterns indicative of ploughing ubiquitous throughout. An interview with a local shepherd during the November 2021 visit confirmed that wheat was cultivated approximately six years previously on flatter parts of the site. More hilly parts of the Solar PV support rows of perennial wormwood (*Artemisia lerchiana*) planted historically (1960's) as a cultivated crop for livestock grazing and this was confirmed during the public consultation with local residents.

*Plate 1. Fallow formerly cultivated land, the prevailing habitat type in the Solar PV Site. Historic plough-lines are visible and prevailing feature of the landscape within the Solar PV Site*



The Overhead Line route crosses intensively cultivated and irrigated farmland habitat between the proposed Solar PV Site and the Sanzar river valley/Tashkent-Samarkand highway. To the south-east of the Sanzar River crossing, the Overhead Line traverses low lying foothills for approximately 1.5km

before terminating at the existing substation at Saribazar with this area subject to grazing and human disturbance associated with historic construction activities associated with the existing sub-station and associated power-lines.

Habitat typical of the Overhead Line are shown in *Plate 2*.

*Plate 2. View across to the foothills for the Overhead Line between the Sanzar river valley and the existing Saribazar substation*



### 3.2.2 Protected Areas

There are two Important Bird Areas (IBAs) within a 30-40 km radius from the Project Site: Tuzkan Lake IBA (approx. 36 km to the north) and Dzhum-Dzhum IBA; the latter is situated in the north-western spurs of the Turkestan mountain range, approximately 25 km to the south-east at its closest point to the Project Site.

#### *Tuzkan Lake IBA*

This 600 km<sup>2</sup> lake, with a length of 35 km, is fed by the Kly River and is partially protected nationally under the Arnasay Nature Sanctuary. According to Kasharov et al. (2009), the lake rarely freezes because its moderate salinity and has rich food supplies and the presence of open water and reeds provides safe roosting areas for waterfowl bird species.

Globally threatened and near threatened species included on the IUCN Red List are: Dalmatian Pelican (*Pelecanus crispus*), Pallas's fish eagle (*Haliaeetus leucoryphus*) and Cinerous Vulture (*Aegypius monachus*), Ferruginous Duck (*Aythya nyroca*) and Asian Dowitcher (*Limnodromus semipalmatus*) occur on migration.

### *Dzhum-Dzhum IBA*

Dzhum-Dzhum IBA is an unprotected river gorge with narrow riverbeds with steep cliffs. The vegetation is mostly formed of xerophytes and an abundance of ephemeral plants. The southern slopes have very few trees whilst the northern slopes have juniper forests. The IBA supports important bird species including Cinereous Vulture (*Aegypius monachus*) and Lammergeier (*Gypaetus barbatus*).

The project AOI does not intersect any of these protected areas / KBAs. These protected areas are associated with either mountainous terrain or wetlands, and therefore support ecosystems that differ substantially from ecosystems within the study area. These protected areas are therefore not expected to be influenced by the proposed development and are not considered critical habitat features associated with the project.

### *Flyways*

A number of important flyways cross Uzbekistan with the Project Site lying on the Central Asian Flyway (CAF). Uzbekistan's natural and artificial wetlands are important for migrating and overwintering waterfowl (Lanovenko 2006). More than 50 migratory waterbird species have been recorded on Uzbek wetlands, including at least nine which are globally threatened: Dalmatian Pelican (*Pelecanus crispus*), Lesser White-fronted Goose (*Anser erythropus*), White-headed Duck (*Oxyura leucocephala*), Ferruginous Duck (*Aythya nyroca*), White-tailed Eagle (*Haliaeetus albicilla*), Red-breasted Goose (*Branta ruficollis*), Marbled Teal (*Marmaronetta angustirostris*), Pallas's Sea Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucorhynchus*) and Pygmy Cormorant (*Phalacrocorax pygmaeus*). Notable migratory species potentially using the flyway in the vicinity of the project area include the IUCN Critically Endangered Sociable Lapwing (*Vanellus gregarius*).

The CAF is a broad front and there are no specific features within 20km of the site which could attract migrating birds. The closest feature is the aforementioned Tuzkan Lake IBA, which is attractive to migrating waterfowl, but the project site does not contain any wetland habitat that may attract such species.

In summary, the proposed Project site is not located on a major bottle neck or geographical feature that would concentrate migrating species.

### **3.2.3 Habitats and Flora**

The September 2021 and November 2021 surveys confirmed that the Solar PV site is formerly cultivated land, with ridge and furrow patterns indicative of ploughing ubiquitous throughout. An interview with a local shepherd during the November 2021 visit confirmed that wheat was cultivated approximately six years previously on flatter parts of the site. Hillier parts of the Solar PV support rows of perennial wormwood (*Artemisia lerchiana*) planted historically (1960's) as a cultivated crop for livestock grazing and this was confirmed during the public consultation with local residents. The wormwood is in a state of poor condition and die-back and has been subject to overgrazing and damage by trampling. The fallow formerly cultivated land support an agro-ecosystem with the ruderal and weed flora typified by

abundant camelthorn (*Alhagi pseudoalhagi*) and locally frequent spiny cocklebur (*Xanthium spinosum*), an introduced invasive species (refer to Sennikov et al, 202049). Isirik (*Peganum harmala*), an invasive native noxious weed, is widespread and is locally abundant. Other ruderal and weed flora components which occur in this agro-ecosystem are *Tribulus terrestris*, and *Aster amellus*, with *Cynodon dactylon/Hordium leporinum* grasses and the sedge *Carex pachystylis* achieving locally abundant and locally frequent coverage respectively.

The agro-ecosystem and the associated weed flora assemblage which prevails within the Solar PV Site is Modified Habitat as defined in PS6 (recently or currently used for agricultural/farming/pastoral activities).

The Overhead Line route crosses intensively cultivated and irrigated farmland habitat between the Solar PV site and the Sanzar river valley/Tashkent-Samarkand highway; this is Modified Habitat as defined in PS6.

To the south-east of the Sanzar River crossing the Overhead Line traverses low lying foothills for approximately 1.5km before terminating at the existing substation at Saribazar. These foothills and plateaus support degraded pastureland typified by broad-leaved grasses (e.g. *Poa bulbosa*) with locally frequent *Capparis spinosa* and Isirik (*Peganum harmala*), an invasive native noxious weed. The habitat is subject to grazing and human disturbance associated with historic construction activities associated with the existing sub-station and associated power-lines. The habitat for this section of the Overhead Line is classified as Natural Habitat as defined in PS6 however is degraded.

The proposed Project Site (Solar PV Site and Overhead Line) and adjacent areas do not fall into Critical Habitat category (as defined in the PS6). None of the plant species recorded during the September 2021 and November 2021 field surveys are included on the Uzbekistan Red List.

### 3.2.4 Fauna

The following faunal species of conservation concern were recorded on surveys undertaken to inform the ESIA. Some of the species listed below are considered to be potentially present in the Project AoI and this assessment has considered known ranges of each species as well as their specific habitat requirements.

Table 5. Species of conservation concern present or possibly present on the Solar PV Site and/or Overhead Line route

English Name	Scientific Names	Global Threat Status (IUCN)	National Threat Status (URDB)	Solar PV Site	Overhead Line
<b>Birds</b>					
White-headed Duck	<i>Oxyura leucocephala</i>	EN	EN:1	Possible use of airspace to fly over the site	
Eastern Imperial Eagle	<i>Aquila heliaca</i>	VU	VU	Peak of 1 flying over Project Site	

English Name	Scientific Names	Global Threat Status (IUCN)	National Threat Status (URDB)	Solar PV Site	Overhead Line
Golden Eagle	<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>	LC	VU	Peak of 1 flying over Project Site	
Steppe Eagle	<i>Aquila nipalensis</i>	EN	VU	Peak of 1 flying over	
Greater Spotted Eagle	<i>Clanga clanga</i>	VU	VU	Peak of 1 flying over Project Site	
Pallas's Fish Eagle	<i>Haliaeetus leucoryphus</i>	EN	Not Listed	Not recorded on surveys however possible use of airspace to fly over the site	
Saker Falcon	<i>Falco cherrug</i>	EN	NT	Not recorded during surveys but possible movements over Project Site	
Egyptian Vulture	<i>Neophron percnopterus</i>	EN	VU	Peak of 1 flying over Project Site	
Eurasian Griffon Vulture	<i>Gyps fulvus</i>	LC	VU	Peak of 6 flying over Project Site	
Cinereous Vulture	<i>Aegypius monachus</i>	NT	NT	Peak of 2 flying over Project Site	
Bearded Vulture	<i>Gypaetus barbatus</i>	NT	VU	Peak of 1 flying over Project Site	
Sociable Lapwing	<i>Vanellus gregarious</i>	CR	VU	Not recorded during surveys but possible movements over Project Site	
Asian Houbara	<i>Chlamydotis macqueenii</i>	VU	VU:D	Not recorded on specific surveys completed during core lekking period. Possible use of airspace flying over site	
Great Bustard	<i>Otis tarda</i>	VU	CR	Not recorded on Project Site but up to 6 within 3km in 2019 (Typsa, 2021) and peak of 845 within 15km (Typsa, Jan 2021). Additional birds recorded in winter 2020-21 in northern foothills of Nuratua Range giving peak count of 924 Great Bustard in RUz in winter 2020-21.	
<b>Reptiles and amphibians</b>					
Central Asian Tortoise	<i>Testudo horsfieldii</i>	VU	2 (VU)	Present	Unlikely
Transcaspian Desert Monitor	<i>Varanus griseus caspius</i>	LC	2 (VU:D)	Possible	Unlikely
Northern (Barred) Wolf Snake	<i>Lycodon striatus bicolor</i>	LC	2 (VU:R)	Possible	Unlikely
Tartar Sand Boa	<i>Eryx tataricus speciosus</i>	Spp. not listed	3 (NT)	Possible	Unlikely

## **4 CRITICAL HABITAT ASSESSMENT**

### **4.1 Introduction**

The first stage of the CHA is to undertake a screening exercise where the species of conservation concern that have been recorded within the Project AoI or those considered to be potentially present are rapidly assessed against the thresholds for determination of CH.

CHA screening has been undertaken for all species considered present or potentially present within the Project AoI that are of global conservation concern: Critically Endangered, Endangered and Vulnerable. Species with a global conservation status of Near Threatened have been excluded from the CHA screening unless they have a significant national or regional conservation status.

The species for which the screening exercise has been completed as well as the results of the screening are shown in *Table 5* below. Those species which are considered, at the screening stage, to potentially meet the CH thresholds or are of high international conservation concern are discussed later in this section.

Table 5. CHA Screening: Species requiring detailed consideration as part of CHA process

Common Name	IUCN Status	National Status	Status	Status PS / PR 6 Criterion				
				1 / ii	2 / iii	3 / iv	4 / i	5 / v
<b>Avifauna</b>								
White-headed Duck	EN	EN:1	Breeding and wintering species in Uzbekistan	<p>Global population of between 5,300 and 8,700 individuals.</p> <p>Project AoI does not support habitat suitable for this species however transit through AoI is possible. Not recorded on any surveys and considered that any transitory movements highly unlikely to be of significant numbers.</p> <p>EAAA for a migratory (wintering) species set at 50km<sup>2</sup> around the project site and all areas are outside of core wintering area for this species (UzRDB)</p> <p>Thresholds for CH not met.</p>	<p>Global population resulting in a large EOO so not range restricted.</p> <p>Criterion 2 not considered</p>	<p>Global population of between 5,300 and 8,700 individuals.</p> <p>Project AoI does not support habitat suitable for this species however transit through AoI is possible. Not recorded on any surveys and considered that any transitory movements highly unlikely to be of significant numbers.</p> <p>EAAA for a migratory (wintering) species set at 50km<sup>2</sup> around the project site and all areas are outside of core wintering area for this species (UzRDB)</p> <p>Thresholds for CH not met.</p>		
Sociable Lapwing	CR	VU:R	Not recorded but possible movements over Project Site	<p>Site is not suitable for staging. Potential for low numbers migrating over the site. In absence of any data criteria not met however species is CR and is included as PBF and monitoring required.</p>		<p>Site is not suitable for staging. Potential for low numbers migrating over the site. In absence of any data criteria not met however species is CR and is included as PBF and monitoring required.</p>	-	-

Common Name	IUCN Status	National Status	Status	Status PS / PR 6 Criterion				
				1 / ii	2 / iii	3 / iv	4 / i	5 / v
Egyptian Vulture	EN	VU	Resident in IBA (listed on citation)	<p>Global population of 18,600-54,000 meaning 93-270 individuals required to meet criteria. Currently 135 pairs in country and not recorded breeding in Project AoI. Peak of 4 individuals recorded on surveys.</p> <p>EAAA set at 50km<sup>2</sup> around project site and EAAA population will therefore not meet trigger in any season.</p>	-	<p>Global population of 18,600 – 54,000 meaning between minimum of 46 pairs required to meet congregatory criteria (with respect to colonial breeding), and between 93 and 270 individuals to meet congregatory criteria (with respect to migration). Peak of 4 birds recorded within Project AoI. EAAA population will therefore not meet trigger in breeding season as AoI unlikely to be supporting breeding of this species (no suitable habitats).</p> <p>The species is also not recorded in significant migratory numbers, to trigger the CH level.</p>		
Saker Falcon	EN	NT	Not recorded but suitable habitat within Project Site	<p>Global Population of 12,200-29,800 individuals meaning 61 individuals or 21 pairs required to meet criteria. Not recorded on surveys.</p> <p>EAAA population will therefore not meet trigger in any season.</p>		-	-	-

Common Name	IUCN Status	National Status	Status	Status PS / PR 6 Criterion				
				1 / ii	2 / iii	3 / iv	4 / i	5 / v
Steppe Eagle	EN	VU:D	Recorded on site in low numbers during migration	<p>Global population of 50-75,000 meaning 250 individuals required to meet criteria.</p> <p>Peak of single bird recorded flying over and only likely to occur in low numbers.</p> <p>EAAA population unlikely to meet trigger in any season.</p>	-	<p>Global population of 50-75,000 meaning 500 individuals required to meet migratory criteria.</p> <p>Peak of single bird recorded flying over and only likely to occur in low numbers so will therefore not meet trigger in any season-</p>	.	-
Great Spotted Eagle	VU	VU	Peak of 1 flying over Project Site	<p>Global population between 3,900-10,000 individuals meaning between 39 – 100 individuals required to meet criteria.</p> <p>Peak of 1 bird recorded flying over the project site and only likely to occur in low numbers.</p> <p>EAAA (50 km<sup>2</sup> for migratory bird) population unlikely to meet trigger in any season.</p>		<p>Global population between 3,900-10,000 individuals meaning between 39 – 100 individuals required to meet criteria.</p> <p>Peak of 1 bird recorded flying over the project site and only likely to occur in low numbers.</p> <p>EAAA (50 km<sup>2</sup> for migratory bird) population unlikely to meet trigger in any season.</p>		
Pallas' Fish Eagle	EN	Not listed	Possible migration but not in core global EOO	<p>Global population between 1,000-2,499 individuals.</p> <p>This species is irregular in Uzbekistan and was not recorded on any of the surveys. Project AoI does not support suitable habitat for this species, although waterbodies are present in the EAAA (50km<sup>2</sup></p>	<p>Global population resulting in a large EOO so not range restricted.</p> <p>Criterion 2 not considered</p>	<p>This species is irregular in Uzbekistan and was not recorded on any of the surveys. Project AoI does not support suitable habitat for this species, although waterbodies are present in the EAAA (50km<sup>2</sup>) for a migratory species. Aydar Lake is approximately 39 km north-west of Project site.</p>		

Common Name	IUCN Status	National Status	Status	Status					
				PS / PR 6 Criterion					
				1 / ii	2 / iii	3 / iv	4 / i	5 / v	
				<p>for a migratory species). Aydar Lake is approximately 39 km north-west of Project site.</p> <p>Highly unlikely that irregular movement will result in significant populations of birds transiting AoI or EAAA and as such EAAA population unlikely to trigger CH in any season.</p>		<p>Highly unlikely that irregular movement will result in significant populations of birds transiting AoI or EAAA and as such EAAA population unlikely to trigger CH in any season.</p>			
Asian Houbara	VU	VU:D	<p>Not recorded on specific surveys completed during core lekking period. Possible flying over only</p>	<p>Global population 33,000-67,000.</p> <p>Site potentially suitable for Asian Houbara, although presence was considered unlikely.</p> <p>Surveys were completed for this species during peak lekking period, and no birds were recorded.</p> <p>Thresholds for triggering CH would require &gt;10% of global population to be present in EAAA and this is not considered likely.</p> <p>Even if this species recorded on future surveys, including operational monitoring it is not considered that even if impacts were noted the impact would be of</p>		<p>Global population 33,000-67,000.</p> <p>Site potentially suitable for Asian Houbara, although presence was considered unlikely.</p> <p>Surveys were completed for this species during peak lekking period, and no birds were recorded.</p> <p>Thresholds for triggering CH would require &gt;10% of global population to be present in EAAA and this is not considered likely.</p> <p>Thresholds for CH not met.</p>			

Common Name	IUCN Status	National Status	Status	Status				
				PS / PR 6 Criterion				
				1 / ii	2 / iii	3 / iv	4 / i	5 / v
				<p>such a level to result in the conservation status of this species being changed from VU to EN.</p> <p>Thresholds for CH not met.</p>				
Great Bustard	VU	CR	<p>Up to 845 wintering within 15 km of Project Site (Tysa, 2021)</p>	<p>Included in Screening due to National Conservation Status (Critically Endangered). Global Population 31,000 – 36,000 individuals. Central Asian Population considered to be 1,000 – 1,500 individuals.</p> <p>Three known core wintering sites within the Jizzakh region.</p> <p>Peak of 845 individuals recorded by Tysa in 2021 with a further peak of 79 individuals recorded. In winter 2020 – 2021 there was a maximum of 924 Great Bustards in the core wintering areas in Uzbekistan and this represents between 61.6 and 92.4% of Central Asian Population.</p>		<p>Included in Screening due to National Conservation Status (Critically Endangered). Global Population 43,847 – 56,695 individuals. Central Asian Population considered to be 1,000 – 1,500 individuals.</p> <p>Three known core wintering sites within Jizzakh region.</p> <p>Total of 924 Great Bustards in these core wintering areas in winter 2020-2021 although none recorded within the Project AoI. Project AoI is not suitable wintering feeding / staging habitat and is therefore not important for this species. Criterion 3 is not triggered.</p>		

Common Name	IUCN Status	National Status	Status	Status				
				PS / PR 6 Criterion				
				1 / ii	2 / iii	3 / iv	4 / i	5 / v
				<p>EAAA includes two known wintering sites and EAAA overlaps with two of these areas (Northern and Southern Foothills of the Nuratau Range)</p> <p>Peak of 845 individuals recorded in the southern foothills with a further peak of 79 individuals recorded in the northern foothill by Tyspa in 2020-21. In winter 2020 – 2021 there was a maximum of 924 Great Bustards in the core wintering areas in Uzbekistan, which fall within the EAAA and this represents between 61.6 and 92.4% of Central Asian Population.</p> <p>Critical Habitat is Triggered for this species under Criterion 1.</p>				
<p>No other bird species of global conservation concern were recorded. Cinereous Vulture (NT) and Bearded Vulture (NT) are of national conservation concern however were recorded in very low numbers. All are considered as PBFs</p>								
<p><b>Mammals</b></p>								
<p>No species of international conservation concern are considered present, or potentially present within the Project AoI.</p>								
<p><b>Reptiles</b></p>								

Common Name	IUCN Status	National Status	Status	Status PS / PR 6 Criterion					
				1 / ii	2 / iii	3 / iv	4 / i	5 / v	
Central Asian Tortoise	VU	VU	Solar PV Site population does not exceed 0.31 individuals/hect are (Nazarov, 2022).	Based on the results of the surveys and interviews with local residents, Nazarov suggests tortoises are very rare and that the habitats with the Solar PV site are unfavourable in terms of the supporting a sustainable population for this species. Therefore, the population density of tortoises within the Solar PV site is assessed as very low.  Project AoI will not support populations that could trigger Criterion 1/ii.				-	-
Other species of reptile of national conservation concern were recorded within the Project AoI and these are identified as PBF and are discussed later in this report									

## 4.2 Determination of Critical Habitat

### 4.2.1 General

Based on the results of the CH Screening Exercise it has been determined that Great Bustard (wintering and migrating) meets the thresholds for triggering Critical Habitat under Criteria 1; significant populations of nationally or regionally EN or CR species and under Criteria 3; significant populations of regional or nationally EN or CR species during wintering and migration. A number of PBFs have also been identified and these are discussed further in this report


#### *Great Bustard (Otis tarda)*

#### *Determination of EAAA*

There are three main wintering areas for Great Bustard in Uzbekistan and these are the Northern and Southern Foothills of the Nuratau Range and the Steppe of Karnabchul (*Figure 4*). The Project AoI is to the south-east of the Nuratau Range and does not overlap with the core wintering areas of Great Bustard. During site visits completed by a species expert, it was considered that the Project AoI does not support suitable terrestrial habitat for over-wintering or staging Great Bustard and no birds were recorded within the AoI during the winter surveys 2020 – 2021 (Tyspa 2021).

The EAAA is however calculated as being the AoI plus a buffer of 50km<sup>2</sup> as this species is over-wintering and migratory and could therefore fly over the AoI, including the OHL.

*Figure 4. EAAA for Great Bustard. Figure also shows core wintering areas / areas surveyed in 2020-2021 as reported in Tyspa 2021)*



### *Assessment against CH Criteria*

The eligible criterion for this species are Criterion 1 and 3 in relation to those species which are of national or regional conservation concern or species which aggregate for breeding, during migration or at wintering sites.

Great Bustard is listed by the IUCN as Vulnerable however it is listed as Critically Endangered on the Uzbekistan Red List. Its global population is declining due to many factors including collision with power lines and because of significant declines in recent years it is likely that its conservation status will be upgraded from Vulnerable to Endangered.

The global population of this species is between 31,000 and 36,000 individuals however the Central Asian Population is between 1,000 and 1,500 individuals. During surveys completed between December 2020 and February 2021 a peak count of 845 individual Great Bustard were recorded from a site approximately 12 to 15km from the Project AoI and this represents between 56.3 and 84.5% of the Central Asian population which is highly significant. Furthermore, additional individuals were documented within the Northern Foothills of the Nuratau Range resulting in a total overwintering population of 924 Great Bustard in 2020/21. The total population recorded within the core wintering areas in Uzbekistan in winter 2020/21 represents 61.6 to 92.4% of the Central Asian population.

The PV site and OHL route are not considered to be suitable for this species as staging or wintering habitat and it is therefore considered that the Project AoI is unlikely to be of critical importance for wintering Great Bustard within Uzbekistan. This position is echoed in the 2021 Tysa report which states *'the Project site is likely to be situated outside the area of Critical Habitat'*. That said the airspace of the Project AoI, including route of the OHL is likely to be used by birds moving between wintering grounds as well as migrating between wintering and breeding habitats. The EAAA supports significant numbers of the Central Asian population and the threshold for Critical Habitat is met for Criterion 1. Due to the unsuitability of the terrestrial habitats within the Project AoI the threshold for Criterion 3 is not met.

Great Bustards are known to be highly susceptible to collision with overhead lines and the project is in an area with a significant proportion of the Central Asian population of this species. Between 10 to 15 collisions would affect 1% of the Central Asian population which would be highly significant given the species' relatively low, and declining, population.

Critical Habitat has therefore been triggered for Great Bustard and as such the Project will need to develop a Biodiversity Management Plan which will set out in further detail mitigation and off-setting measures included within the Project AoI. It is considered that off-setting will be required as the available mitigation measures (marking of over-head lines) is not fully effective for reducing collisions of Great Bustards due to the apparent poor vision and migrating habitats (nocturnal flights at collision height)

As part of the package to reduce impacts as much as possible a range of BFDs will be installed on to the central 60% of each overhead line, as this is where most collisions are likely to occur. Dynamic BFDs

are to be used and additional mitigation by design to be applied with the cables arranged in a horizontal plane to reduce the amount of vertical airspace occupied by cables. The mitigation package will also include detailed monitoring of the species during at least the first three years post construction to understand the impacts of the OHL. Updated surveys will also be completed in winter 2022/23 to continue the baseline survey effort along with a best international practice fatality monitoring programme along the route of the OHL. An adaptive management plan will also be in place so that in the unlikely event of impacts being recorded at a higher level the mitigation package can be updated to reduce impacts further.

In addition to potential impacts for overhead lines, poaching / illegal hunting is another significant threat within Uzbekistan which is severely limiting winter survival. Net-gain will be achieved through off-setting as set out in the ESIA instead measures will include Great Bustard 'Wardens' who will be trained to undertake census surveys, winter population monitoring as well as undertaking education programmes with local communities, including hunters, to prevent killing of these birds. All off-setting works should be agreed with in-country (e.g. Roman Kaskerov and Anna Ten) and external stakeholders, and any monitoring programmes should contribute to national or international censuses of Great Bustard.

### **4.3 Priority Biodiversity Features**

#### *General*

All species/habitats within the background data search and recorded on site, or those considered to be potentially present, have been assessed against the PBF guidelines, which provide a qualitative approach to the assessment. All criteria were considered for each species/habitat.

Species meeting the criteria for inclusion as Priority Biodiversity Features are presented in *Table 8* and discussed in subsequent sections.

Table 8. Species considered to be Priority Biodiversity Features

Species	Status (IUCN / UzRBD)	Criterion Reached
Sociable Lapwing	CR / VU:R	Criterion 2
White-headed Duck	EN/EN:D	Criterion 2
Saker Falcon	EN / NT	Criterion 2
Steppe Eagle	EN / VU:D	Criterion 2
Pallas's Fish Eagle	EN / Not Listed	Criterion 2
Egyptian Vulture	EN / VU:D	Criterion 2
Eastern Imperial Eagle	VU / VU:D	Criterion 2
Golden Eagle	LC / VU:R	Criterion 2
Greater Spotted Eagle	VU / VU:R	Criterion 2
Cinereous Vulture	NT / NT	Criterion 2
Eurasian Griffon Vulture	LC / VU:D	Criterion 2
Bearded Vulture	NT / VU:R	Criterion 2
Asian Houbara	VU / VU:D	Criterion 2
Central Asian Tortoise	VU / VU	Criterion 2
Transcaspian Desert Monitor	LC / VU:D	Criterion 2
Northern (Barred) Wolf Snake	LC / VU:R	Criterion 2
Tartar Sand Boa	LC / NT	Criterion 2

#### 4.3.1 Criterion 1 Threatened habitat

No habitat types or ecosystems were present or identified as being potentially present, that would be considered as priority habitats as such Criterion 1: Threatened Habitat has not been triggered.

#### 4.3.2 Criterion 2 Vulnerable species

##### *Plant Species*

No plant species were recorded which would be considered as Priority Biodiversity Features under PBF Criterion 2.

##### *Bird Species*

Sociable Lapwing are listed as IUCN Critically Endangered and White-headed Duck, Egyptian Vulture, Saker Falcon and Steppe Eagle listed as Endangered and whilst none of these species meet the thresholds for triggering Critical Habitat, they are all considered to be PBFs. An additional seven bird species that are IUCN Vulnerable, Near Threatened or are of national conservation concern (VU) are considered to qualify as PBF under Criterion 2 and these are Eastern Imperial Eagle, Golden Eagle, Greater Spotted Eagle, Cinereous Vulture, Eurasian Griffon Vulture, Bearded Vulture and Asian Houbara.

##### *Mammal Species*

No mammal species of international or national conservation status were recorded.

### *Reptile Species*

Central Asian Tortoise was the only IUCN Vulnerable species recorded within the Project AoI however three reptile species which are of national conservation concern (VU) are considered to be present and are also identified as being PBFs. These are; Transcaspian Desert Monitor, Northern (Barred) Wolf Snake and Tartar Sand Boa.

#### **4.3.3 Criterion 3 Significant feature as identified by stakeholders or governments**

The Project AOI does not fall within any significant biodiversity features, nor is it within close proximity to nationally protected or internationally designated sites.

#### **4.3.4 Criterion 4 Ecological structure and functions that are vital to maintaining the viability of priority biodiversity features**

The Project Site does not contain areas of structure or function (e.g., major dispersal or migration corridors) vital for the maintenance of viable populations of Priority Biodiversity Features and as such Criterion 4 has not been triggered.

## **5 MITIGATION AND FUTURE MANAGEMENT**

### **5.1 General**

Direct impacts from the operation of the Project are likely to be limited to habitat loss within the PV site, although this is loss of modified and degraded habitat, and within the Overhead Line route as well as possible direct impacts on reptile species during the construction phase of the project.

Operational impacts of the project are likely limited to potential direct impacts on soaring species of birds (vultures and eagles) as well as collision of Great Bustard with the OHL. The whole OHL route will therefore require bird deflectors to be installed and operational monitoring will need to be completed for bird carcasses will also need to be completed along the route of the Overhead Line. It is likely that operational monitoring will need to be in place for at least the first three years of operation. All mitigation and monitoring will need to be included in a Biodiversity Action Plan which will also need to include a robust Adaptive Management Strategy should the results of monitoring indicate an impact on Great Bustard or other species of global conservation concern (e.g. Sociable Lapwing or raptors). The ESIA includes an outline Off-setting Plan which will need to be further developed and in place as the Project has triggered Critical Habitat for Great Bustard. Off-setting will be set out in the ESIA and will be developed through consultation with a finalised off-setting plan set out in the Biodiversity Action Plan.

For the bird, mammal and reptile species that qualify as PBFs, the Project will need to achieve at least no net loss for PBFs over the lifespan of the scheme and measures to achieve this will be set out in the ESIA and further developed in the Biodiversity Action Plan.

### **5.2 Mitigation and Monitoring**

#### **5.2.1 Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP)**

All PBF species will also need to be included in the Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP). The BAP will fully detail all relevant construction mitigation measures (Construction BAP) and habitat restoration and operation mitigation and enhancement measures (Operation BAP) which will be completed during and after the construction period to achieve the objectives of Net Gain for Great Bustard and No Net Loss for PBFs.

As a minimum, for the Critical Habitat qualifying species, Great Bustard, further preconstruction surveys will be required within the known wintering areas close to site to determine the current levels of usage by this species.

### 5.2.2 Biodiversity Monitoring and Evaluation Program (BMEP)

The BMEP should validate the accuracy of predicted impacts and risks to biodiversity values posed by the Project, and the predicted effectiveness of biodiversity management actions and should include the following:

- Baseline: measures of the status of biodiversity values prior to the Project's impacts
- Process: monitoring of the implementation of mitigation measures and management controls
- Outcomes: monitoring of the status of biodiversity values during the life of the project, compared to the baseline.

The BMEP should include a practical set of indicators (metrics) for the biodiversity values requiring mitigation and management. Specific thresholds (e.g. KPIs) should be set for monitoring results that will trigger a need to adapt the management plan(s) to address any deficiencies in performance.

Monitoring of populations of PBF species known to be present on site will be undertaken to ensure that there are no long-term negative impacts as a result of the Project. On-going monitoring and reporting will be completed throughout the construction and operation phases of the Project in accordance with the relevant monitoring plans.

## 6 SUMMARY

Great Bustard is the only species that occurs, or possibly occurs, within the Project AOI that triggers CH due to its national conservation status as well as the EAAA of this species overlapping with the Project AoI. Although the species was not recorded within the Project Site, a significant proportion of the Central Asian population of this species was recorded 15km from the Project site. The Project is situated within the known wintering range of this species and it is likely that this species will fly over the Project AoI. Great Bustard are of significant national conservation concern and the population is declining throughout its range for multiple reasons including being particularly susceptible to collision with OHLs. Proposed mitigation includes marking of the OHL as well as provision of Great Bustard Wardens. A BAP will be developed to detail all mitigation measures as well as setting out a robust monitoring programme for this species. The BAP should include an Off-setting Plan as well as an Adaptive Management Strategy for Great Bustard as well as other species of international conservation concern (e.g. Sociable Lapwing and raptors).

Pre-construction surveys, at appropriate times of the year, will need to be completed to establish presence/absence of reptiles of national conservation concern in proposed works areas and if found to be present in these areas or considered likely to occur in these areas during construction, additional mitigation (e.g. limited translocation to a suitable receptor site) will be required.

It is considered that the Project has met the requirements as set out in IFC PS6 Paragraph 17 and the measures detailed above will be included in the management plan and BAP documents. These documents will also set out measures designed to achieve No Net Loss for those species defined as PBFs and Net Gains for CH qualifying Great Bustard.