**Name of Work: Construction Supervision (CSC) Consultancy Services for Chennai Peripheral Ring Road of Section II**

**REOI Notice No: 7/CPRR/ADE/2020-2021 dated: 25.08.2020**

**RFP No: 03/TNRIDC/CPRR/CSC 01 dated: 29.10.2020**

**Pre Proposal Conference held on 12.11.2020**

**(Reply to Queries)**

| **SI No** | **Clause No., Section & Pg. No.** | **Original Clause in the RFP** | **Clarification/Suggestion** | **Reply to the query** | **Name of firm**  **(not to be published)** | **Remarks (Not to be disclosed)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Section 2.  Data Sheet, Clause 10.2 Pg. No 32 | Statement of Undertaking is required | Client has sought the Consultants to submit “Statement of Undertaking”. We request the authority to provide the format of the said undertaking. | Refer  Form TECH-1 of RFP. | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. | The format of Statement of Undertaking is referred as Form TECH-1 of RFP |
|  | Section 2.  Data Sheet, Clause 16.1 Pg. No 33 | **Provisional Sum and Contingency** | We understand that there is no provision for Provisional Sum and Contingency in Financial Proposal.  **Kindly confirm.** | RFP Prevails.  Refer Clause 16.1 of ITC Data sheet of RFP | Rodic Consultants Private Limited | As per Data Sheet Clause 16.1 (7) (8) of RFP |
|  | Section 2.  Data Sheet, Clause 17.7 and 17.9  Pg. No 34 | The Proposals must be submitted no later than:  Date: 27.11.2020  Time: 16:00 Hrs | We request the Department to kindly provide the adequate time for preparation of comprehensive proposal, so that the submission due date by at least four (4) weeks from the issuance of the reply to the pre-bid queries. | Refer Sl. No. 3 and 4 of Addendum No 1. | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. | A minimum responsive time of 2 weeks will be ensured. |
|  | Section 2  Data Sheet  Clause 17.1 and 23.4 Pg. No 34 & 36 | Submission of Proposal electronically | Client is requested to allow the Consultants to submit the Proposals electronically on or before the date and time of submission | RFP Prevails. | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. |  |
|  | Section 2: ITC, E. Data Sheet, Clause 21.1, Pg. No 35 | **Evaluation**  Sl. No. (ii) Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in responding to TORs   |  | | --- | | Proposed Methodology & Work Plan -5 marks | | Response to ToR – 5 marks | | **Skill Balancing and overall Team Composition – 10 marks** | | It is noted that 65 marks for Key Experts qualifications and competence has already been allotted under clause 21.1 (iii). However, separate 10 marks have also been earmarked for Skill Balancing and overall Team Composition.  It is requested to kindly elaborate what Skill Balancing and overall Team Composition entail or cover for which 10 marks have been allotted. | Refer Form TECH-4 and TECH-6  Refer Sl.No 5 of Addendum No 1 | Intercontinental Consultants & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., | The Organization and staffing including Hierarchy and administrative skills,Inputs of Key and sub key personnels and indentification/Naming of Sub key personnel will be assessed for the Evaluation |
|  | DATA SHEET  Clause 21.1  Pg. No 35 | Criteria, sub-criteria, and point system for the evaluation of the Full Technical Proposals   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Sl. No** | **Description** | **Weight** | | 1 | General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): | 20% | | 2 | Adequacy for the Assignment | 70% | | 3 | Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.) | 10% | |  | **Total weight** | **100** | | We request the Authority to consider the following changes in the evaluation criteria referred as below:   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Sl. No .** | **Description** | **Weight** | | 1 | General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): | **25%** | | 2 | Adequacy for the Assignment | 70% | | 3 | Relevant experience in the region (working **level fluency in local language(s) like Tamil/ Telugu / Kannada / Malayalam, etc**/ knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.) | **5%** | |  | **Total weight** | **100** | | RFP Prevails. | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. |  |
|  | Clause 27.1 of Section:2 ITC (E) Data Sheet,  Pg. No 37 | **QCBS Only**  **The lowest evaluated Financial Proposal (Fm) is given the maximum Financial score (Sf) of 100.**  **The formula for determining the financial scores (Sf) of all other Proposals is as following:**  Sf = 100 x Fm/F, in which “Sf” is the financial score, “Fm” is the lowest price, and “F” the price of the proposal under consideration.  **The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (P) Proposals are:** T = 70, and  P = 30  Proposals are ranked according to their combined technical (St) and financial (Sf) scores using the above weights (T = the weight given to the Technical Proposal; P = the weight given to the Financial Proposal; T + P = 1) as following:  S = St x T% + Sf x P% | **Question 1:**  We understand that this project requires high level of  Technical Expertise. So, to ensure the selection of consultant with sound technical expertise, we suggest that more weightage shall be given to the Technical Proposal of the consultant.  Therefore, we request to change the weightage of Technical and Financial Proposal for the evaluation purpose as follows:  **T = 80%**  **P = 20%**  Proposals are ranked according to their combined technical (St) and financial (Sf) scores using the weights (T = the weight given to the Technical Proposal;  P = the weight given to the Financial Proposal; T + P = 1) as following: S = St  x T% + Sf x P%  **Kindly consider.** | RFP Prevails. | Rodic Consultants Private Limited |  |
| The weights given to the Technical (T) and Financial (P) Proposals are:  T = 70, and P = 30 | **Question 2:**  Considering that this is a technically complex road project, the RFP correctly specifies a detailed scoring for technical evaluation. However, the weightage of 70% for technical proposal is not commensurate with the technical evaluation requirements. We therefore request that a higher weightage (minimum 80%) should be allotted to the technical proposal while evaluation. We request for consideration of the following amendment.  • The weightage given to technical proposal is **90%**.  • The weightage given to financial proposal is **10%.** | RFP Prevails. | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. |  |
|  | Form TECH-6: Curriculum Vitae  Pg. No. 49 | It is understood that in the CV either the candidate signature or the authorized representative of firm will be affixed. Kindly confirm. | Form TECH-6: Curriculum Vitae(CV) | RFP Prevails.  Both candidate signature & the authorized representative of firm Shall be Affixed as required in Form TECH-6: Curriculum Vitae (CV) of RFP. | NIPPON KOEI INDIA PVT. LTD. | Both candidate signature & the authorized representative of firm Shall be Affixed as in Form TECH-6: Curriculum Vitae (CV) of RFP. |
|  | Section - TOR, Cl. No. 1.3  Pg. No. 67 | The proposed Project is a 6-lane access-controlled divided main carriageway with a 2-lane service road on both sides of the 6-lane carriageway, with a number of interchanges and various grade-separated crossings. This Project is expected to the meet increasing traffic demands in Chennai Metropolitan Area and is part of the wider Chennai Peripheral Ring Road that has five sections under various stages of development, construction, and operation. The Project (Sections II and III) of the Chennai Peripheral Ring Road) starts at Thatchur at NH-16 and ends at Sriperumbudurat NH-48 The Project traverses Thiruvallur and Kancheepuram districts. Section II construction will be tendered under two separate EPC packages. Section III will be tendered under three separate EPC packages. | We would like to know the EPC Contractor is already on board or the contract is yet to be awarded. | The Procurement of EPC Contractor is in progress. | NIPPON KOEI INDIA PVT. LTD. |  |
|  | Section 7. Terms of Reference, Clause 1.4, Pg. No 67 | **Length**  There will be one Consultant for Section II (two separate EPC Construction packages for Section II) and another Consultant for Section III. | The current project includes only Section II. However, the length of section II is not stipulated. It is requested to kindly provide the same. | The Length of Section II of CPRR is 26.10 Km. | Intercontinental Consultants & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., |  |
|  | Section 7 -TOR, Cl. No. 3.1 & 3.2  Pg. No. 80 | 3.1. The **Team Leader** should have expertise of two major external aided (World Bank/ADB/JICA) Projects in **Developed Countries** in the capacity of Team Leader or Resident Engineer. | **Question 1:**  Multi-lateral funding (WB/ADB/JICA/  OPEC) for Infrastructure projects particularly Highway Projects don’t happen for projects in **Developed countries**.  We wish to suggest as follows:   1. The **Team Leader** Should have experience of Working in **Developed Countries** in the capacity of Team Leader or Resident Engineer   The **Team Leader** should have expertise of **two major** external aided (World Bank/ADB/JICA/OPEC etc) Projects in **Developing Countries including India** in the capacity of Team Leader or Resident Engineer. | Refer Sl. No.6 and 7 of Addendum No.1 | NIPPON KOEI INDIA PVT. LTD. |  |
| **3. Team Composition & Qualification Requirements**  3.1. The Team Leader should have expertise of two major external aided (World Bank/ADB/JICA) Projects in Developed Countries in the capacity of Team Leader or Resident Engineer. | **Question 2:**  We request to provide the list for the developed countries. | Refer Sl. No.6 and 7 of Addendum No.1 | Rodic Consultants Private Limited |  |
| **Team Leader**  The Team Leader should have expertise of two major external aided (World Bank/ ADB/ JICA) Projects in Developed Countries in the capacity of Team Leader or Resident Engineer | **Question 3:**  In continuation to the above query in relation to carry out projects in the Developed countries, it is submitted that it is not a normal practice of allocating funds by “World Bank/ADB/ JICA” for projects undertaken in developed Countries. Therefore, it would be difficult to find a candidate with this requirement. It is thus requested to either waive off the said requirement or shall be read as  “The Team Leader should have expertise of two major external aided (World Bank/ ADB/ JICA) **Projects in Developed/Developing Countries in the capacity of Team Leader or Resident Engineer**”.  This may please be accepted and confirmed. | Refer Sl. No.6 and 7 of Addendum No.1 | Intercontinental Consultants & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., |  |
| 3.2. For other key experts’ candidates with international exposure in World Bank/ADB/JICA aided projects in **developed countries** shall be given additional preference during evaluation. | **Question 4:**  We feel, World Bank ADB/JICA are more likely to provide funding in developing countries.  Kindly consider the experience of key experts in **developing countries including India** also. | Refer Sl. No.6 and 7 of Addendum No.1 | NIPPON KOEI INDIA PVT. LTD. |  |
| 3. Team Composition & Qualification Requirements.  3.2 For other key experts candidates with international exposure in World Bank/ADB/JICA aided projects in developed countries shall be given additional preference during evaluation. | **Question 5:**  Getting a CV who has experience in multilateral aided project in developed countries experience people are rare available in the market at this pandemic situation. We request to the authority to consider the following.  For other key experts candidates with international exposure in World Bank/ADB/JICA/ multilateral funded projects OR working experience in developed/developing countries shall be given additional preference during evaluation.  Detailed evaluation marking systems are not mentioned in the RFP. We request the authority to provide the detailed CVs evaluation criteria for the same. | Refer Sl. No.6 and 7 of Addendum No.1  Refer the evaluation criteria mentioned in Clause 3.3 of TOR & 21.1 of ITC Data sheet of RFP. | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. | The evaluation criteria and sub criteria is detailed in the clause 21.1 of Data Sheet & TOR |
| 3.1 The Team Leader should have expertise of two major external aided (World Bank/ADB/JICA) Projects in Developed Countries in the capacity of Team Leader or Resident Engineer.  3.2. For other key experts candidates With international exposure in World Bank/ADB/JICA aided projects in developed countries shall be given additional preference during evaluation. | **Question 6:**  In general, infrastructure projects in developed countries are usually funded by Government /local bodies of their respective countries. Therefore, we request you to consider revising it as:  3.1 The Team Leader should have expertise of two major external aided (World Bank/ADB/JICA) Projects in Developing Countries in the capacity of Team Leader or Resident Engineer.    3.2For other key experts candidates with World Bank/ADB/JICA aided projects in developing countries shall be given additional preference during evaluation. | Refer Sl. No.6 and 7 of Addendum No.1 | Aarvee Associates Architects Engineers & Consultants Pvt. Ltd |  |
| **3.1 Team Leader**  The Team Leader should have expertise of two major external aided (World Bank/ ADB/ JICA) Projects in Developed Countries in the capacity of Team Leader or Resident Engineer & Should have experience in various contracts modes and experience in developed countries is preferable. | **Question 7:**  There is a discrepancy in both the clauses. Clause 3.1, page 80 stipulates that “The Team Leader should have expertise of two major external aided (World Bank/ ADB/ JICA) **Projects in Developed Countries in the capacity of Team Leader or Resident Engineer**” whereas, Clause 3.3, Page No. 82 mentions that “**Should have experience in various contracts modes and experience in developed countries is preferable**”.  Considering the discrepancy, the Consultant understands that the criteria of “Team leader Should have experience in various contracts modes and experience in developed countries is preferable” shall stand. This may kindly be confirmed. | Refer Sl. No.6 and 7 of Addendum No.1 | Intercontinental Consultants & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., |  |
| **Question 8:**  We understand that as per detailed experience criteria for Team Leader, requirement of experience in Developed country experience is not mandatory Also, you will appreciate the fact that it very rare to find funded projects in developed [countries. so](http://countries.so) we understand that clause for mandatory experience shall be removed.  **Kindly Consider.** | Refer Sl. No.6 and 7 of Addendum No.1 | Rodic Consultants Private Limited |  |
|  | Section 7. Terms of Reference Clause 3 Pg. No 80 | **3.3 Key Expert Requirement-**  The Team Leader and Resident Engineer offices shall be tentaively located as follows:   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Team Leader | Chennai | | Resident Engineer (EPC1) | Thiruvallur | | Resident Engineer (EPC2) | Thiruvallur | | **Question 1:**  As per RFP, we understand that the consultant is required to open two offices for Resident Engineer (EPC01) Resident Engineer (EPC02) at Thiruvallur.  However, you will appreciate the fact as location for office is same for EPC-1 and EPC-2, the consultant can work from one Resident Engineer for both EPC-1 AND EPC-2.  Therefore, we suggest the following change to the clause: The Team Leader and Resident Engineer TWO offices shall be tentatively located as follows:   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Team Leader (1 no. Office) | Chennai | | Resident Engineer (1no Office) (EPC-1 and EPC-2) | Thiruvallur |   **Kindly Consider.** | The office of the Resident Engineers with separate supporting staffs is required. | Rodic Consultants Private Limited | The office of the Resident Engineers with separate supporting staffs is required.  However they can be accommodated under single roof. |
| 3.3. Key Expert Requirement  Road Safety Expert | **Question 2:**  RFP is provided 24 man-month inputs for Road Safety Expert position, based on our site visit and we understand the requirement of the Road Safety expert for the entire duration of the project, we request to the authority to consider 36 months input for Road Safety Expert. Please clarify. | RFP Prevails. | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. |  |
| **Man- Months for Key/ Non- Key Experts**   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Key Experts** | | | | **S.No** | **Position** | **Current Man-months** | | **1.** | **Quality & Material Engineer (EPC1)** | **30** | | **2.** | **Quality & Material Engineer (EPC 2)** | **30** | | **Non-Key Experts** | | | | **S.No** | **Position** | **Current Man-months** | | **1.** | **Environment Engineer** | **12** | | **2.** | **Pavement Engineer** | **12** | | **Question 3:**  You will appreciate the fact Input for intermittent Key/ Non-key experts (having experience of not more than 10 years) demands continuous availability considering the scope of work. Also, seeing the complexity of project and from our past experiences in such project, we believe that such position shall be on continuous basis. Therefore, we request Intermittent Key/ Non-Key experts may be made on continuous basis/ full time basis.  Suggested Man- Months:   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Key Experts** | | | | **S.No** | **Position** | **Current Man-months** | | **1.** | **Quality & Material Engineer (EPC1)** | **36** | | **2.** | **Quality & Material Engineer (EPC 2)** | **36** | | **Non-Key Experts** | | | | **S.No** | **Position** | **Current Man-months** | | **1.** | **Environment Engineer** | **36** | | **2.** | **Pavement Engineer** | **36** | | RFP Prevails. | Rodic Consultants Private Limited |  |
|  | Section 7. Terms of Reference, Clause 3.3, Pg. No. 82 to 84 | Team Leader: Education Qualification  B.E. Civil with Master’s degree in Civil Engineering or Equivalent | **Question 1:**  Kindly confirm if PG Diploma can also consider as Equivalent for Master’s Degree. | Refer Sl. No.6 and 7 of Addendum No.1 | NIPPON KOEI INDIA PVT. LTD. |  |
| **Team Leader**  B.E Civil with Master degree in Civil Engineering or equivalent | **Question 2:**  As number of experts are available with Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering who are capable of carrying out similar assignments but does not hold Master degree in Civil Engineering. Therefore, the Client is requested to amend the requirement as:  Possess **Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering and preferably** Master degree in Civil Engineering.  This may please be considered and confirmed. | Refer Sl. No.6 and 7 of Addendum No.1 | Intercontinental Consultants & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., |  |
| **Senior Quality & Material Engineer**  B.E Civil and Master degree in Geotechnical/ Material/Highway Engineering or equivalent | **Question 3:**  As number of experts are available with Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering who are capable of carrying out similar assignments but does not hold Master degree in Geotechnical/ Material/Highway Engineering or equivalent. Therefore, the Client is requested to amend the requirement as:  Possess **Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering and preferably** Master degree in Geotechnical/ Material/ Highway Engineering or equivalent.  This may please be considered and confirmed. | RFP Prevails. | Intercontinental Consultants & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., | Master degree is for this Position is must |
| **Geotechnical Engineer**  B.E. Civil and Master degree in Soil Mechanics & Foundation/ Geotechnical Engineering or equivalent | **Question 4:**  As number of experts are available with Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering who are capable of carrying out similar assignments but does not hold Master degree in Soil Mechanics & Foundation/ Geotechnical Engineering or equivalent. Therefore, the Client is requested to amend the requirement as:  Possess **Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering and preferably** Master degree in Soil Mechanics & Foundation/ Geotechnical Engineering or equivalent.  This may please be considered and confirmed. | RFP Prevails. | Intercontinental Consultants & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., | Master degree is for this Position is must |
| **Team Composition & Qualification Requirements:**  **Education Qualification:**  Senior ITS Specialist: B.E. Civil and Master’s degree in Highway / or equivalent | **Question 5:**  Since Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) is purely a Systems Position, it is a must to have qualifications as below:  Bachelor’s Degree in Engineering /Science in Civil/ **Electrical / Electronics / Computer Science/ System Engineering preferably with Master’s degree in the relevant discipline or equivalent.**  Kindly consider. | Refer Sl. No.8 of Addendum No 1 | NIPPON KOEI INDIA PVT. LTD. | **Agreed for Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering and preferably** Master degree in highway or Equivalent.  Thorough Knowledge and Experience in Road Safety Audit is included. |
| **Position:** Senior **ITS** Specialist  **Education Qualification:**  B.E. Civil and Master degree in  Highway *I* or equivalent | **Question 6:**  We request you to consider revising it as:  **Graduate and Preferably with post graduate qualification in Civil/Electrical/ Electronics/System Engineering** | Refer Sl. No.8 of Addendum No 1 | Aarvee Associates Architects Engineers & Consultants Pvt. Ltd | **Agreed for Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering and preferably** Master degree in highway or Equivalent.  Thorough Knowledge and Experience in Road Safety Audit is included. |
| **Senior ITS Specialist**  **Education Qualification :** B.E. Civil  B.E. Civil and Master’s degree in Highway / or equivalent | **Question 7:**  We suggest that Educational Qualification of BE Civil, Electrical or Electronics or equivalent may be considered for Senior ITS Specialist.  **Kindly Consider.** | Refer Sl. No.8 of Addendum No 1 | Rodic Consultants Private Limited | **Agreed for Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering and preferably** Master degree in highway or Equivalent.  Thorough Knowledge and Experience in Road Safety Audit is included. |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | Senior ITS Specialist | B.E. Civil and Master degree in  Highway / or equivalent | | **Question 8:**  Since this position is based on Information Technology, hereby Client is requested to change the Educational Qualification as mentioned below:   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Senior ITS Specialist | Bachelors degree in Civil Engineering/ Electronics/ Computer Science/ or equivalent | | Refer Sl. No.8 of Addendum No 1 | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. | **Agreed for Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering and preferably** Master degree in highway or Equivalent.  Thorough Knowledge and Experience in Road Safety Audit is included. |
| **Senior ITS Specialist**  B.E. Civil and Master degree in Highway / or equivalent | **Question 9:**  As number of experts are available with Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering who are capable of carrying out similar assignments but does not hold Master degree in Highway / or equivalent. Therefore, the Client is requested to amend the requirement as:  Possess **Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering and preferably** Master degree in Highway / or equivalent.  This may please be considered and confirmed. | Refer Sl. No.8 of Addendum No 1 | Intercontinental Consultants &Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., | **Agreed for Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering and preferably** Master degree in highway or Equivalent.  Thorough Knowledge and Experience in Road Safety Audit is included. |
|  | Section 7. Terms of Reference, Clause 3.3, Pg. No 85 | Preferable age limit for Team Leader is 65 years, Key-personnel is 60 years | Age limit of the Key personnel is mentioned in the RFP as 60 and 65 years. We request the authority to ensure that the age of all the Key Personnel should not be more than 65 years on the date of submission of the proposal. | Refer Sl. No.9 of Addendum No 1 | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. | The Physical Fitness Certificate shall be Produced on Mobilization of Concerned Key and Sub Key Personnel whoever above the prescribed age limits. |
|  | Section 2:  Instructions to Consultants  E. Data sheet Pg. No 32 AND  Section 7: Terms of Reference Pg. No 85 | 14.1.2 Estimated Key Experts' time- input: 253 person-months.  For the maintenance period of 1st year, following personnel are required  As a minimum.   | **S. No** | **Description** | **Quantity** | **Input** | **Total Man Months** | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | 1. | **Team Leader /Highway** | 1 | Continuous | **12** | | 2. | Field Engineer- Roads | 1 | Intermittent | **6** | | 3. | ITS Engineer O & M | 1 | Intermittent | **6** | | 4. | Quantity Surveyor | 1 | Intermittent | **6** | | We understand that the Estimated  Key Experts time-input: 253 person- months mentioned in clause 14.1.2 is only for the Construction Period  Further, as per the table referred there is a requirement of Key personnel for the maintenance period of 12 months, which is not included in the estimated key experts time-input: 253 person- months mentioned in clause 14.1.2 of Data Sheet.  We request you to kindly clarify the same. | Refer Sl. No. 1,2 and 9 of Addendum No.1 | Aarvee Associates Architects Engineers & Consultants Pvt. Ltd |  |
|  | Section7. Terms of Reference Clause 5  Pg. No 97 | **Vehicles:** Vehicles required for the key and sub-key professionals shall be arranged by the Authority’s Engineer. | It is requested to provide the number of Vehicles required for Team Leader office as well as for each Resident Engineer office, so that every consultant bidding for the project comes at the parity.  **Kindly consider.** | As required as per good industry practice for the Project Implementation.  Refer Sl. No. 10 of Addendum No.1 | Rodic Consultants Private Limited |  |
|  | Section 7. Terms of Reference, Clause 5.2, Pg. No 98 | **Updated DPR and EPC Agreement** | It is requested to kindly share a copy of updated DPR and EPC Contract for better understanding of the project. | Shall not be disclosed at this stage. | Intercontinental Consultants & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., |  |
|  | Clause 17.1 Force Majeure GCC  Pg. No 112 | For the purposes of this Contract, “Force Majeure” means an event which is beyond the reasonable control of a Party, is not foreseeable, is unavoidable, and makes a Party’s performance of its obligations hereunder impossible or so impractical as reasonably to be considered impossible under the circumstances, and subject to those requirements, includes, but is not limited to, war, riots, civil disorder, earthquake, fire, explosion, storm, flood or other adverse weather conditions, strikes, lockouts or other industrial action confiscation or any other action by Government agencies. | Please confirm and clarify that the Force Majeure event also includes spread of Epidemic/Pandemic. If not, we request that the same should be included in the Clause. | The Clauses are Self Explanatory. | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. |  |
|  | Clause 29.3 GCC  Pg. No 119 | 29.3 If additional work is required beyond the scope of the Services specified in Appendix A, the estimated time-input for the Key Experts may be increased by agreement in writing between the Client and the Consultant. In case where payments under this Contract exceed the ceilings set forth in Clause GCC 41.2, the Parties shall sign a Contract amendment. | Since it’s a supervision Consultant Contract and there is likelihood of additional works beyond the scope of work, please clarify the additional time shall be remunerated for such additional works. | The Clauses are Self Explanatory. | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. |  |
|  | GCC 32.3, Pg. No. 120 | Any replacement of the removed Experts or Sub-consultants shall possess **better** qualifications and experience and shall be acceptable to the Client. | Any replacement of the removed Experts or Sub-consultants shall possess **Equivalent/better** qualifications and experience and shall be acceptable to the Client. | RFP Prevails | NIPPON KOEI INDIA PVT. LTD. |  |
|  | 45. Mode of Billing and Payment of GCC  Pg. No 124 | **Advance payment.**  Within the number of days after the Effective Date, the Client shall pay to the Consultant an advance payment as specified in the SCC. Unless otherwise indicated in the SCC, an advance payment shall be made against an advance payment bank guarantee acceptable to the Client in an amount (or amounts) and in a currency (or currencies) specified in the SCC. Such guarantee (i) is to remain effective until the advance payment has been fully set off, and (ii) is to be in the form set forth in Appendix E, or in such other form as the Client shall have approved in writing. The advance payments will be set off by the Client in equal installments against the statements for the number of months of the Services specified in the SCC until said advance payments have been fully set off. | We understand that **advance payment is Interest free**. **Kindly Confirm.** | The Clauses are Self Explanatory. | Rodic Consultants Private Limited |  |
|  | Clause 14.1 Expiration of Contract SCC  Pg. No 129 | The time period shall be 48 months (36 months from the date of effectiveness of the contract for the construction period plus 12 months for maintenance period). | In case the Contract is extended after the expiration date due to delays on the part of Contractor, what shall be the payment terms for the extended period of Contract Please clarify | Refer Clause 29.3 and 41.3 of GCC. | Louis Berger Consulting Pvt. Ltd. |  |
|  | III. Special Conditions of Contract, Clause No. 42.3 SCC, Pg. No 132 | **Price Adjustment on Remuneration in Local Currency**  Remuneration paid in local currency pursuant to the rates set forth in Appendix C shall be adjusted every 12 months (and, for the first time, with effect for the remuneration earned in the 13th calendar month after the date of the Contract) by applying the formula. | In this regard, it is submitted that all projects funded by renowned agencies such as World Bank, ADB, AfDB etc., the Client provides Price Adjustment on the Remuneration rates paid in foreign and local currencies after every 12 months (and, for the first time, with effect for the remuneration earned in the 13th calendar month after the date of the Contract).  In view of the above, the Client may kindly consider to modify this clause by stating that *“Remuneration paid in local and foreign currency pursuant to the rates set forth in Appendix C shall be adjusted every 12 months (and, for the first time, with effect for the remuneration earned in the 13th calendar month after the date of the Contract) as per formula given”.* | RFP Prevails. | Intercontinental Consultants & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., | The Mechanism is clearly defined in RFP. |
|  | Additional Point | Extension of Time | It is requested that the date of submission of the proposal may please be extended. A period of at least 3 weeks may please be given for preparation of the proposal from the date of issue of clarifications so that our experts can prepare a comprehensive proposal addressing all issues of the RFP document / ToR. | Refer Sl. No. 3 and 4 of Addendum No.1 | Intercontinental Consultants & Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., | A minimum responsive time of 2 weeks will be ensured. |
|  | General | **General** | We request to please provide project costs of both the Packages. | Project Cost shall not be disclosed. | Rodic Consultants Private Limited |  |
|  | General | **General – Training Component** | We understand that specialized training component is not included in TOR and therefore, consultant will not have to quote for the Specialized Training separately. **Kindly Confirm.** | RFP Prevails. | Rodic Consultants Private Limited |  |
|  | General | **Technical Proposal - General** | We understand that CVs of Non- Key Experts is not required at the Proposal Submission stage.  **Kindly confirm.** | Refer Clause 21.1 of ITC Data Sheet. | Rodic Consultants Private Limited |  |

Sd/-

**Executive Director**

**TNRIDC**